arXiv:0912.4981v1 [math.AG] 29 Dec 2009

PRIME EXCEPTIONAL DIVISORS ON HOLOMORPHIC SYMPLECTIC VARIETIES AND MONODROMY-REFLECTIONS

EYAL MARKMAN

Abstract. Let X be a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic variety. The second integral cohomology of X is a lattice with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov pairing. A divisor E on X is called a *prime exceptional divisor*, if E is reduced and irreducible and of negative Beauville-Bogomolov degree.

Let E be a prime exceptional divisor on X. We first observe that associated to E is a monodromy involution of the integral cohomology $H^*(X,\mathbb{Z})$, which acts on the second cohomology lattice as the reflection by the cohomology class $[E]$ of E (Theorem 1.1).

We then specialize to the case of X, which is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of length n zero-dimensional subschemes of a $K3$ surface, $n \geq 2$. A cohomology class $e \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is called *monodromy-reflective*, if e is a primitive class of Beauville-Bogomolov degree -2 or $2-2n$, and the class $(e,\bullet)$ in the dual lattice $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*$ is divisible by $(e,e)/2$. Let E be a prime exceptional divisor on X. We show that $[E]=e$ or $[E]=2e$, for a monodromy-reflective class e (Theorem 1.2).

A primitive class $e \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-*effective*, if some non-zero integral multiple of e is the class of an effective divisor. There are many examples of monodromy-reflective classes e of Hodge type $(1,1)$, which are not $\mathbb{Q}$-effective. Instead, the reflection by e is induced by a birational involution of X. We introduce monodromy-invariants of monodromy-reflective classes e, and use them to formulate a conjectural numerical criterion for the $\mathbb{Q}$-effectiveness of e (Conjecture 1.11). We show that the Conjecture follows from a version of Torelli (Theorem 1.12).

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. A prime exceptional divisor is monodromy-reflective 2
1.2. Prime-exceptional divisors in the $K3^{[n]}$-type case 3
1.3. A classification of monodromy-reflective line-bundles 5
1.4. A numerical characterization of exceptional classes? 7
1.5. The structure of the paper 9

2. Easy examples of monodromy-reflective line-bundles 10
2.1. The Mukai isomorphism 10
2.2. A representative sequence of examples 12
3. The monodromy reflection of a prime exceptional divisor 13
4. Holomorphic symplectic manofolds of $K3^{[n]}$-type 16
5. Deformation equivalence 19
5.1. The prime-exceptional property of pairs (X, L) is open 19
5.2. Deformation equivalence and Torelli 23
5.3. Deformation equivalence and monodromy-invariants 29
6. Monodromy-invariants from Mukai's isomorphism 30
6.1. A rank two sub-lattice of the Mukai lattice 30
6.2. Isometry orbits in three rank two lattices 32
7. Monodromy-invariants of monodromy-reflective classes 34
7.1. The divisibility case $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = (e, e)$ 34
7.2. The divisibility case $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = (e, e)/2$ 36
8. Numerical characterization of exceptional classes via Torelli 39
9. Conditions for the existence of slope-stable vector bundles 40
9.1. Necessary conditions 40
9.2. Sufficient conditions 43
10. Examples of prime exceptional divisors 48
10.1. Brill-Noether exceptional divisors 49
10.2. Exceptional divisors of non-locally-free sheaves 54
11. Examples of non-effective monodromy-reflective classes 57
11.1. Non-effective classes of divisibility $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n - 2$ 57
11.2. Non-effective classes of divisibility $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = n - 1$ 59
References 61

1. Introduction

An *irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold* is a simply connected compact Kähler manifold X, such that $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X)$ is generated by an everywhere non-degenerate holomorphic two-form [Be1, Hu1]. The dimension of X is even, say $2n$. The second integral cohomology of X is a lattice with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov pairing [Be1]. A divisor E on X is called a *prime exceptional divisor*, if E is reduced and irreducible and of negative Beauville-Bogomolov degree [Bou].

1.1. A prime exceptional divisor is monodromy-reflective.

When $\dim(X) = 2$, then X is a Kähler $K3$ surface. Let E be a prime divisor of negative degree on X. Then E is necessarily a smooth rational curve. Its degree is thus -2. E may be contracted, resulting in a surface Y with an ordinary double point ([BHPV], Ch. III). A class $x \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is *primitive*, if it is not a multiple of another integral class by an integer larger than 1. Let $c \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive class of negative degree. Then c has degree -2, if and only if the reflection $R_c : H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$, given by

$$R_c(x) \quad = \quad x - \frac{2(x,c)}{(c,c)}c, \tag{1.1}$$

has integral values, since the lattice $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is even and unimodular.

Druel recently established the birational-contractibility of a prime exceptional divisor E, on a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X of arbitrary dimension $2n$. There exists a sequence of flops of X, resulting in a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X', and a projective birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow Y$ onto a normal projective variety Y, such that the exceptional divisor $E' \subset X'$ of π is the strict transform of E (see [D] and Proposition 3.1 below). The result relies on the work of several authors. In particular, on Boucksom's work on the divisorial Zariski decomposition and on recent results in the minimal model program [Bou, BCHM].

Let E be a prime exceptional divisor on a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X. Let c be the class of E in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and consider the reflection $R_c : H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(X,\mathbb{Q})$, given by (1.1). Building on Druel's result, we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.1. *The reflection R_c is a monodromy operator. In particular, R_c is an integral isometry. Furthermore, c is either a primitive class or two times a primitive class.*

See Corollary 3.6 for a more detailed statement and a proof. The reflection R_c arises as a monodromy operator as follows. Let $Def(Y)$ be the Kuranishi deformation space of Y and $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow Def(Y)$ the semi-universal family. Then $Def(Y)$ is smooth, as is the fiber Y_t of $\bar{\psi}$, over a generic point $t \in Def(Y)$, and the smooth fiber Y_t is deformation equivalent to X [Na1]. Let $U \subset Def(Y)$ be the complement of the discriminant locus. R_c is exhibited as a monodromy operator of the local system $R^2_{\bar{\psi}_*}\mathbb{Z}$ over U.

1.2. **Prime-exceptional divisors in the $K3^{[n]}$-type case.**

Theorem 1.1 imposes a rather strong numerical condition on a class c to be the class of a prime exceptional divisor. We get, for example, the following Theorem. Assume that X is deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ of length n subschemes of a $K3$ surface S. We will abbreviate this statement by saying that X is of $K3^{[n]}$-*type.* Assume that $n \geq 2$ and X is projective.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* e *be a primitive class in* $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$*, with negative Beauville-Bogomolov degree* $(e, e) < 0$*, such that some integer multiple of* e *is the class of an irreducible divisor* E*. Then* $(e, e) = -2$ *or* $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$*. If* $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$*, then the class* $(e, \bullet)$ *in* $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^*$ *is divisible by* $n - 1$*.*

The Theorem is related to Theorem 21 in the beautiful paper [HT2]. In particular, the case of fourfolds is settled in that paper. The hypothesis that the divisor E is irreducible is necessary. There exist examples of pairs (X, e), with X of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $e \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, such that $2e$ is effective, the reflection by e is an integral reflection of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, but $2 - 2n < (e, e) < -2$ ([Ma5], Example 4.8).

Definition 1.3. An isometry g of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is called a *monodromy operator*, if there exists a family $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ (which may depend on g) of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, having X as a fiber over a point $t_0 \in T$, and such that g belongs to the image of $\pi_1(T, t_0)$ under the monodromy representation. The *monodromy group* $Mon^2(X)$ of X is the subgroup of $O[H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})]$ generated by all the monodromy operators.

Definition 1.4.
1. A class $e \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is said to be *monodromy-reflective*, if e is primitive, and the reflection $R_e(x) := x - \frac{2(x,e)}{(e,e)} e$, with respect to the class e, belongs to $Mon^2(X)$.
2. A line bundle L is said to be *monodromy-reflective*, if the class $c_1(L)$ is.

Theorem 1.2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 and the following characterization of monodromy-reflective line-bundles on X of $K3^{[n]}$-type.

Proposition 1.5. *Let* $e \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ *be a primitive class of negative degree* (e, e)*. Then the reflection* R_e *belongs to* $Mon^2(X)$*, if and only if* e *satisfies one of the following two properties.*

1. $(e, e) = -2$*, or*
2. $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$*, and* $n - 1$ *divides the class* $(e, \bullet) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^*$*.*

The proposition is proven in section 4. A class $e \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is said to be $\mathbb{Q}$-*effective*, if some non-zero integer multiple of e is the class of an effective divisor. Examples of monodromy-reflective line bundles, which are not $\mathbb{Q}$-effective, are exhibited in section 11.

1.3. **A classification of monodromy-reflective line-bundles.**

When X is a $K3$ surface, a monodromy-reflective line bundle L has degree -2, and precisely one of L or L^{-1} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$, where E is an effective divisor (see [BHPV], chapter VIII, Proposition 3.6). If $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is cyclic then E is necessarily a smooth rational curve. We may deform to this case upon deforming the pair (X, L) to a nearby deformation equivalent pair.

Monodromy-reflective line bundles of degree $2-2n$, over X of $K3^{[n]}$-type, need not be $\mathbb{Q}$-effective if $n > 1$. Whether the line-bundle is or is not $\mathbb{Q}$-effective depends on a monodromy-invariant defined in Proposition 1.7 below. The definition depends on the following Theorem.

The topological K-group $K(S)$ of a $K3$ surface S, endowed with the *Mukai pairing* $(v, w) := -\chi(v^\vee \otimes w)$, is called the *Mukai lattice.* $K(S)$ is a rank 24 even unimodular lattice isometric to the orthogonal direct sum $\widetilde{\Lambda} := E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 4}$, where $E_8(-1)$ is the negative definite E_8 lattice and U is the rank 2 lattice with Gram matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Let $\Lambda := E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$, with $(\delta, \delta) = 2-2n$. Then $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to Λ, for any X of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n > 1$ [Be1]. Let $O(\Lambda, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ be the set of primitive isometric embeddings $\iota : \Lambda \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}$. $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ acts on $O(\Lambda, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ by compositions. If $n-1$ is a prime power, then $O(\Lambda, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ consists of a single $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit. The Euler number $\eta := \eta(n-1)$ is the number of distinct primes $p_1, \dots, p_\eta$ in the prime factorization $n-1 = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_\eta^{e_\eta}$, with positive integers e_i. For $n > 2$, there are $2^{\eta-1}$ distinct $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbits in $O(\Lambda, \widetilde{\Lambda})$ (see [O] or [Ma5], Lemma 4.3).

Theorem 1.6. *(*[Ma5]*, Theorem 1.10). An irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n \geq 2$, comes with a natural choice of an $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit of primitive isometric embeddings of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. This orbit is monodromy-invariant, i.e., $\iota : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}$ belongs to this orbit, if and only if $\iota \circ g$ does, for all $g \in Mon^2(X)$.*

Let S be a $K3$ surface, H an ample line bundle on S, and $v \in K(S)$ a primitive class satisfying $(v, v) = 2n - 2$, $n \geq 2$. Assume that $X := M_H(v)$ is a smooth and compact moduli space of H-stable sheaves of class v. Then X is of $K3^{[n]}$-type and the orbit in the Theorem is that of Mukai's isometry $\iota : H^2(M_H(v), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow v^\perp$, where $v^\perp \subset K(S)$ is the sub-lattice orthogonal to v (see [Ma5], Theorem 1.12 or Theorem 2.1 below). The monodromy-invariance, of the $O(K(S))$-orbit of Mukai's isometry, uniquely characterizes the orbit in the above Theorem, for every X of $K3^{[n]}$-type.

Let X be of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n>1$. Let $I''_n(X)\subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be the subset of monodromy-reflective classes of degree $2-2n$, and e a class in $I''_n(X)$. Choose a primitive isometric embedding $\iota: H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\hookrightarrow\widetilde{\Lambda}$, in the natural orbit of Theorem 1.6. Choose a generator v of the rank 1 sub-lattice of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ orthogonal to the image of ι. Then $(v,v)=2n-2$. Indeed, $(v,v)>0$, since the signature of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is $(4,20)$, and (v,v) is equal to the order of $(\mathbb{Z}v)^*/\mathbb{Z}v$, which is equal to the order of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*/H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, which is $2n-2$. Let ρ be the positive integer, such that $(e+v)/\rho$ is an integral and primitive class in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Define the integer σ similarly using $e-v$. Let $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$ be the integer in $\{(e,e)/2,(e,e)\}$, such that the class $(e,\bullet)/\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$ is an integral and primitive class in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*$. Given a rational number m, let $\mathcal{F}(m)$ be the set of unordered pairs $\{r,s\}$ of positive integers, such that $rs=m$ and $\gcd(r,s)=1$. If m is not a positive integer, then $\mathcal{F}(m)$ is empty. Set

$$\Sigma''_n \ := \ \mathcal{F}(n-1)\ \cup\ \mathcal{F}([n-1]/2)\ \cup\ \mathcal{F}([n-1]/4).$$

Note that Σ''_n is a singleton, if and only if $n=2$ or $n-1$ is a prime power.

Proposition 1.7. *If* $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=n-1$ *and* n *is even, set* $\{r,s\}:=\{\rho,\sigma\}$. *Otherwise, set* $\{r,s\}:=\{\frac{\rho}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2}\}$. *Then* $\{r,s\}$ *is a pair of relatively prime integers in* Σ''_n, *and the function*

$$rs\ :\ I''_n(X)\quad\longrightarrow\quad\Sigma''_n,$$

sending the class e *to the unordered pair* $\{r,s\}$, *is monodromy-invariant. The function* rs *is surjective, if* $n\equiv 1$ *modulo* 8, *and its image is* $\mathcal{F}(n-1)\cup\mathcal{F}([n-1]/2)$ *otherwise.*

The proposition is proven in Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3. A more conceptual definition of the monodromy-invariant rs is provided in the statements of these Lemmas. The proof relies on the classification of the isometry classes of all possible pairs $(\widetilde{L},e)$, where $\widetilde{L}$ is the saturation in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ of the rank 2 sub-lattice span$\{e,v\}$. The classification is summarized in the table following Lemma 6.4. We finally arrive at the classification of monodromy-reflective line-bundles.

Proposition 1.8. *Let* X *be of* $K3^{[n]}$*-type and* L *a monodromy-reflective line bundle. Set* $e:=c_1(L)$.

1. *If* $(e,e)=2-2n$, *then the* $Mon^2(X)$*-orbit of the class* e *is determined by* $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$ *and the value* $rs(e)$.
2. *If* $(e,e)=-2$, *then the* $Mon^2(X)$*-orbit of the class* e *is determined by* $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$.

Part 1 is proven in Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3. Part 2 is Lemma 8.9 in [Ma2].

1.4. **A numerical characterization of exceptional classes?**

Let (X_1, L_1) and (X_2, L_2) be two pairs as in Proposition 1.8. Set $e_i := c_1(L_i)$. If $(e_i, e_i) = 2 - 2n$, $\mathrm{div}(e_1, \bullet) = \mathrm{div}(e_2, \bullet)$, and $rs(e_1) = rs(e_2)$, then the pairs (X_1, e_1) and (X_2, e_2) are deformation equivalent (allowing e_i to loose it Hodge type along the deformation), by Proposition 1.8 and Lemma 5.17. Furthermore, a deformation relating the two pairs and preserving the Hodge type exists as well, assuming a version of the Torelli Theorem holds (see section 5.2). Finally if X_1 is projective and $L_1^{\otimes k} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_1}(E_1)$, for some $k > 0$ and a prime exceptional divisor E_1, then a generic small deformation (X, L) of (X_2, L_2) consists of L satisfying $L^{\otimes d} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(E)$, for a prime exceptional divisor E and for $d = k$ or $d = -k$ (Proposition 5.14). This leads us to the conjectural numerical characterization of exceptional line-bundles described in this section.

Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n \geq 2$. Let L be a monodromy-reflective line bundle on X, $e := c_1(L)$, and R_e the reflection by e. R_e preserves the Hodge structure, and so acts on $H^{1,1}(X) \cong H^1(X, T_X)$. The Kuranishi deformation space $Def(X)$ is an open neighborhood of 0 in $H^1(X, T_X)$, which may be chosen to be R_e invariant. Hence, R_e acts on $Def(X)$. The Local Kuranishi deformation space $Def(X, L)$, of the pair (X, L), is the smooth divisor $D_e \subset Def(X)$ of fixed points of R_e.

Definition 1.9. Let $h \in H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ be a Kähler class. A line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is called *numerically exceptional*, if its first Chern class $e := c_1(L)$ is a primitive class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, satisfying $(h, e) > 0$ and the following properties. The Beauville-Bogomolov degree is either $(e, e) = -2$, or $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$ and $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)/2 > 2$. In the latter case one of the following properties holds:

1. $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n - 2$ and $rs(e) = \{1, n - 1\}$.
2. $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n - 2$ and $rs(e) = \{2, (n - 1)/2\}$. We must have $n \equiv 3$ (modulo 4) for the pair $rs(e)$ to be relatively prime.
3. $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = n - 1$, n is even, and $rs(e) = \{1, n - 1\}$.
4. $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = n - 1$, n is odd, and $rs(e) = \{1, (n - 1)/2\}$.

A cohomology class $e \in H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is *numerically exceptional*, if $e = c_1(L)$, for a numerically exceptional line-bundle L.

Definition 1.10. (1) A line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is called *stably-prime-exceptional*, if there exists a closed complex analytic subset $Z \subset D_e$, of codimension ≥ 1, such that the linear system $|L_t|$ consists of a prime-exceptional divisor E_t, for all $t \in [D_e \setminus Z]$.

(2) L is said to be *stably-$\mathbb{Q}$-effective*, if there exists a non-zero integer k, such that the linear system $|L_t^k|$ is non-empty, for all $t \in D_e$.

If E is a prime exceptional divisor on a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X, then $\mathcal{O}_X(E)$ is stably-prime-exceptional, by Proposition 5.2.

Let L be a line bundle on an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X of $K3^{[n]}$-type with a primitive first Chern class. Recall that a necessary condition for the linear system $|L^k|$ to consist of an exceptional divisor E, is that L is monodromy-reflective (Definition 1.4), by Theorem 1.1. Assume that L is monodromy-reflective. Set $e := c_1(L)$. Let $D_e \subset Def(X)$ be the divisor fixed by the reflection R_e.

Conjecture 1.11. (1) *Assume that L is numerically exceptional. Then L^k is stably-prime-exceptional, where k is determined as follows. If the degree of L is $2-2n$, then*

$$k = \begin{cases} 2, & \textit{if} \ \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2 \ \textit{and} \ rs(e) = \{1, n-1\}, \\ 1, & \textit{if} \ \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2 \ \textit{and} \ rs(e) = \{2, (n-1)/2\}, \\ 1, & \textit{if} \ \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1. \end{cases}$$

If the degree of L is -2, then

$$k = \begin{cases} 2, & \textit{if} \ \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2 \ \textit{and} \ n = 2, \\ 1, & \textit{if} \ \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2 \ \textit{and} \ n > 2, \\ 1, & \textit{if} \ \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 1. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If L is not numerically exceptional, then L is not stably-$\mathbb{Q}$-effective. I.e., for every non-zero integer k, there exists a dense open subset U^k of D_e, such that $H^0(X_t, L_t^k)$ vanishes, for all $t \in U^k$.*

See Remark 4.2 for the Euler characteristic $\chi(L^k)$. Note that in part 1 above L^k is effective as well, for the specified integer k, by the semi-continuity theorem.

Theorem 1.12. *Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of $K3^{[n]}$-type and L a monodromy-reflective line bundle on X. Assume an affirmative answer to the Torelli Question 5.7 [or the weaker Question 5.10 for the pair (X, L)]. Then Conjecture 1.11 holds for (X, L).*

Verbitsky recently posted a proof of an affirmative answer to Question 5.7 [Ver]. Theorem 1.12 is proven in section 8. The proof uses the examples worked out in sections 10 and 11. We exhibit an example of a pair (X, L), for each possible value of these monodromy invariants, and verify Conjecture 1.11 for (X, L). All values of the monodromy invariants are realized by examples where X is a smooth and projective moduli space of sheaves on a $K3$ surface. See the table in section 8 for a reference to an example, for each value of the monodromy-invariants.

The vanishing in part 2 of Conjecture 1.11 is verified in the examples as follows. In all the examples of monodromy-reflective but non-numerically-exceptional line-bundles considered in section 11, X admits a birational involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$, inducing the reflection R_e.

Observation 1.13. *If L is a monodromy reflective line bundle on X, and there exists a bimeromorphic involution $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ inducing the reflection R_e, $e = c_1(L)$, then the line bundle L is not $\mathbb{Q}$-effective.*

Proof. There exists a Zariski open subset $U \subset X$, such that $X \setminus U$ has codimension ≥ 2, ι restricts to a regular involution of U, and the composition $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} H^2(U, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isometry, by [OG1], Proposition 1.6.2. The isometry ι^* is assumed to be the reflection R_e. Hence, $\iota^* L \cong L^{-1}$ and L is not $\mathbb{Q}$-effective. $\square$

1.5. **The structure of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we provide a sequence of easy examples of monodromy-reflective line-bundles on moduli spaces of sheaves on $K3$ surfaces. We calculate their invariants, and determine whether or not they are effective, illustrating Conjecture 1.11.

In section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 stating that associated to a prime exceptional divisor E is a monodromy involution of the integral cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, which acts on the second cohomology lattice as the reflection by the cohomology class $[E]$ of E (Corollary 3.6). In section 4 we specialize to the $K3^{[n]}$-type case, $n \geq 2$, and prove Theorem 1.2 about the possible degrees of prime exceptional divisors.

Let (X_i, E_i), $i = 1, 2$, be two pairs, each consisting of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X_i, and a prime exceptional divisor E_i. Let $e_i \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the class of E_i. In section 5 we define two notions of deformation equivalence:

(1) Deformation equivalence of the two pairs (X_i, E_i), $i = 1, 2$.
(2) Deformation equivalence of the two pairs (X_i, e_i), $i = 1, 2$.

We relate these two notions via Torelli.

In section 6 we return to the case where X is of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n \geq 2$. We associate, to each monodromy-reflective class $e \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of degree $2 - 2n$, an isometry class of a pair $(\widetilde{L}, \tilde{e})$, consisting of a rank 2 integral lattice of signature $(1, 1)$ and a primitive class $\tilde{e} \in \widetilde{L}$, with $(\tilde{e}, \tilde{e}) = 2 - 2n$. The isometry class of the pair $(\widetilde{L}, \tilde{e})$ is a monodromy-invariant, denoted by $f(X, e)$. In section 7 we calculate the monodromy-invariant

$f(X, e)$ explicitly as the function rs in Proposition 1.8. We then prove Proposition 1.8.

In section 8 we prove Theorem 1.12 stating that the numerical characterization of exceptional classes, suggested in Conjecture 1.11, follows from a version of Torelli.

Sections 9, 10, and 11 are devoted to examples of monodromy-reflective line bundles over moduli spaces of stable sheaves. In section 9 we study the exceptional locus of Jun Li's morphism from certain moduli spaces, of Gieseker-Maruyama H-stable sheaves on a $K3$-surface, to the Ulenbeck-Yau compactifications of the moduli spaces of H-slope stable vector-bundles.

In section 10 we exhibit an example of a prime exceptional divisor, for each value of the invariants of a monodromy-reflective line-bundle L, for which L is stated to be stably-$\mathbb{Q}$-effective in Conjecture 1.11.

In section 11 we exhibit an example of a monodromy-reflective line-bundle L, which is not $\mathbb{Q}$-effective, for each value of the invariants for which L is stated not to be $\mathbb{Q}$-effective in Conjecture 1.11.

2. EASY EXAMPLES OF MONODROMY-REFLECTIVE LINE-BUNDLES

In section 2.1 we review basic facts about moduli spaces of coherent sheaves on $K3$ surfaces. In section 2.2 we briefly describe a sequence of examples of pairs (X, e), with X of $K3^{[n]}$-type, e a monodromy-reflective class of degree $2-2n$ with $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n-2$, for each $n \geq 2$, and for each value of the invariant rs. For details, references, as well as for examples of degree -2, or with $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = n-1$, see sections 10 and 11.

2.1. The Mukai isomorphism.

The group $K(S)$, endowed with the *Mukai pairing*

$$(v, w) \ := \ -\chi(v^\vee \otimes w),$$

is called the *Mukai lattice.* Let us recall Mukai's notation for elements of $K(S)$. Identify the group $K(S)$ with $H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$, via the isomorphism sending a class F to its *Mukai vector* $ch(F)\sqrt{td_S}$. Using the grading of $H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$, the Mukai vector is

$$(\mathrm{rank}(F), c_1(F), \chi(F) - \mathrm{rank}(F)), \tag{2.1}$$

where the rank is considered in H^0 and $\chi(F) - \mathrm{rank}(F)$ in H^4 via multiplication by the orientation class of S. The homomorphism $ch(\bullet)\sqrt{td_S}$: $K(S) \rightarrow H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isometry with respect to the Mukai pairing on $K(S)$ and the pairing

$$((r', c', s'), (r'', c'', s'')) \ = \ \int_S c' \cup c'' - r' \cup s'' - s' \cup r''$$

on $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$ (by the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch Theorem). For example, $(1,0,1-n)$ is the Mukai vector in $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$, of the ideal sheaf of a length n subscheme. Mukai defines a weight 2 Hodge structure on the Mukai lattice $H^*(S,\mathbb{Z})$, and hence on $K(S)$, by extending that of $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$, so that the direct summands $H^0(S,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^4(S,\mathbb{Z})$ are of type $(1,1)$ (a Tate twist).

Let $v \in K(S)$ be a primitive class with $c_1(v)$ of Hodge-type $(1,1)$. There is a system of hyperplanes in the ample cone of S, called v-walls, that is countable but locally finite [HL], Ch. 4C. An ample class is called *v-generic*, if it does not belong to any v-wall. Choose a v-generic ample class H. Let $M_H(v)$ be the moduli space of H-stable sheaves on the $K3$ surface S with class v. When non-empty, the moduli space $M_H(v)$ is a smooth projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic variety of $K3^{[n]}$ type, with $n = \frac{(v,v)+2}{2}$. This result is due to several people, including Huybrechts, Mukai, O'Grady, and Yoshioka. It can be found in its final form in [Y2].

Over $S \times M_H(v)$ there exists a universal sheaf $\mathcal{F}$, possibly twisted with respect to a non-trivial Brauer class pulled-back from $M_H(v)$. Associated to $\mathcal{F}$ is a class $[\mathcal{F}]$ in $K(S \times M_H(v))$ ([Ma4], Definition 26). Let π_i be the projection from $S \times M_H(v)$ onto the i-th factor. Assume that $(v,v) > 0$. The second integral cohomology $H^2(M_H(v),\mathbb{Z})$, its Hodge structure, and its Beauville-Bogomolov pairing, are all described by Mukai's Hodge-isometry

$$\theta \;:\; v^{\perp} \quad \longrightarrow \quad H^2(M_H(v),\mathbb{Z}), \tag{2.2}$$

given by $\theta(x) := c_1\left(\pi_{2_!}\{\pi_1^!(x^\vee) \otimes [\mathcal{F}]\}\right)$ (see [Y2]).

Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be the unimodular lattice $E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 4}$, where U is the rank two unimodular hyperbolic lattice. $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is isometric to the Mukai lattice of a $K3$ surface. Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n \geq 2$. Recall that X comes with a natural choice of an $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit of primitive isometric embeddings of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$, by Theorem 1.6.

Theorem 2.1. *(*[Ma5]*, Theorem 1.12). When X is isomorphic to the moduli space $M_H(v)$, of H-stable sheaves on a $K3$ surface of class $v \in K(S)$, then the above mentioned $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit is that of the composition*

$$H^2(M_H(v),\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\theta^{-1}} v^{\perp} \subset K(S) \cong \widetilde{\Lambda}, \tag{2.3}$$

where θ^{-1} is the inverse of the Mukai isometry given in (2.2).

The combination of Theorems 1.6 and 2.1 is an example of the following meta-principle guiding our study of the monodromy of holomorphic symplectic varieties of $K3^{[n]}$-type.

Any topological construction, which can be performed uniformly and naturally for all smooth and compact moduli spaces of sheaves on any $K3$ *surface* S*, and which is invariant under symmetries induced by equivalences of derived categories of* $K3$*-surfaces, is monodromy-invariant.*

2.2. **A representative sequence of examples.** Let S be a projective $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line bundle H. Fix integers r and s satisfying $s \geq r \geq 1$, and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. Let X be the moduli space $M_H(r, 0, -s)$. Then X is a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of $K3^{[n]}$-type with $n = 1+rs$ [Y2]. Set $e := \theta(r, 0, s)$. The weight two integral Hodge structure $H^2(M_H(r, 0, -s), \mathbb{Z})$ is Hodge-isometric to the orthogonal direct sum $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}e$, and the class e is monodromy-reflective of Hodge-type $(1, 1)$, $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$, $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n - 2$, and $rs(e) = \{r, s\}$.

When $r = 1$, then $X = S^{[n]}$ is the Hilbert scheme. Let $E \subset S^{[n]}$ be the big diagonal. Then E is a prime divisor, which is the exceptional locus of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $\pi : S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ onto the n-th symmetric product of S. The equality $e = \frac{1}{2}[E]$ was proven in [Be1].

When $r = 2$, let $E \subset M_H(2, 0, -s)$ be the locus of H-stable sheaves which are not locally free. Then E is a prime divisor, which is the exceptional locus of Jun Li's morphism from $M_H(2, 0, -s)$ onto the Ulenbeck-Yau compactification of the moduli space of H-slope-stable vector bundles of that class. The equality $e = [E]$ holds, by Lemma 10.16.

When $r \geq 3$, let $Exc \subset M_H(r, 0, -s)$ be the locus of H-stable sheaves, which are not locally free or not H-slope-stable. Then Exc is a closed algebraic subset of $M_H(r, 0, -s)$ of codimension ≥ 2, by Lemma 9.5. Jun Li's morphism is thus not a divisorial contraction. Set $U := X \setminus Exc$. Let $\iota : U \rightarrow U$ be the regular involution, which sends a locally free H-slope-stable sheaf F to the dual sheaf F^*. The restriction homomorphism from $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to $H^2(U, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, and the induced involution ι^* of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the reflection by the class e, by Proposition 11.1. The class e is thus not $\mathbb{Q}$-effective.

3. The monodromy reflection of a prime exceptional divisor

Let X be a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and E a reduced and irreducible divisor with negative Beauville-Bogomolov degree. The following result is due to S. Bouksom and S. Druel.

Proposition 3.1. *(*[D]*, Proposition 1.4) There exists a sequence of flops of X, resulting in a smooth birational model X' of X, such that the strict transform E' of E in X' is contractible via a projective birational morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow Y$ to a normal projective variety Y. The exceptional locus of π is equal to the support of E'.*

The divisor E is assumed to be *exceptional*, rather than to have negative Beauville-Bogomolov degree, in the statement of Proposition 1.4 in [D]. The technical term exceptional is in the sense of [Bou], Definition 3.10, and is a precise measure of rigidity. Boucksom characterized exceptional divisors on irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties by the following property, which we will use as a definition ([Bou], Theorem 4.5).

Definition 3.2. A rational divisor $E \in Div(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is *exceptional*, if $E = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i E_i$, with positive rational coefficients n_i, prime divisors E_i, and a negative definite Gram-matrix $([E_i], [E_j])$.

In particular, a prime divisor is exceptional, if and only if it has negative Beauville-Bogomolov degree. Hence, we may replace in the above Proposition the hypothesis that E is exceptional by the hypothesis that E has negative Beauville-Bogomolov degree.

Definition 3.3. A primitive class $e \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is *(prime) exceptional* if some positive multiple of e is the class of a (prime) exceptional divisor. A line bundle $L \in Pic(X)$ is *(prime) exceptional*, if $c_1(L)$ is.

Let $Def(X')$ and $Def(Y)$ be the Kuranishi deformation spaces of X' and Y. Denote by $\psi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Def(X')$ the semi-universal deformation of X', by $0 \in Def(X')$ the point with fiber X', and let X_t be the fiber over $t \in Def(X')$. Let $\bar{\psi} : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow Def(Y)$ be the semi-universal deformation of Y, $\bar{0} \in Def(Y)$ its special point with fiber Y, and Y_t the fiber over $t \in Def(Y)$.

The variety Y necessarily has rational Gorenstein singularities, by [Be2], Proposition 1.3. The morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow Y$ deforms as a morphism ν of the semi-universal families, which fits in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{X} \arrow[r, "\nu"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & \mathcal{Y} \arrow[d, "\bar{\psi}"'] \\ Def(X') \arrow[r, "f"] & Def(Y), \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.1}$$

by [KM], Proposition 11.4. The following is a fundamental theorem of Namikawa:

Theorem 3.4. *(*[Na1]*, Theorem 2.2) The Kuranishi deformation spaces $Def(X')$ and $Def(Y)$ are both smooth of the same dimension. They can be replaced by open neighborhoods of $0 \in Def(X')$ and $\bar{0} \in Def(Y)$, and denoted again by $Def(X')$ and $Def(Y)$, in such a way that there exists a natural proper surjective map $f : Def(X') \to Def(Y)$ with finite fibers. Moreover, for a generic point $t \in Def(X')$, $Y_{f(t)}$ is isomorphic to X_t.*

The morphism $f : Def(X') \to Def(Y)$ is in fact a branched Galois covering, by [Ma6], Lemma 1.2. The Galois group G is a product of Weyl groups of finite type, by [Ma6], Theorem 1.4 (see also [Na2]). Furthermore, G acts on $H^*(X', \mathbb{Z})$ via monodromy operators preserving the Hodge structure. When the exceptional locus of $\pi : X' \to Y$ contains a single irreducible component of co-dimension one in X', then the Galois group G is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\Sigma \subset Y$ be the singular locus. The *dissident locus* $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$ is the locus along which the singularities of Y fail to be of ADE type. Σ_0 is a closed subvariety of Σ.

Proposition 3.5. *(*[Na1]*, Propositions 1.6,* [W]*) Y has only canonical singularities. The dissident locus Σ_0 has codimension at least 4 in Y. The complement $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$ is either empty, or the disjoint union of codimension 2 smooth and symplectic subvarieties of $Y \setminus \Sigma_0$.*

Keep the notation of Proposition 3.1. Let $[E]$ be the class of E in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and R the reflection of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ given by

$$R(x) \quad := \quad x - \left(\frac{2(x, [E])}{([E], [E])}\right)[E].$$

Consider the natural isomorphism $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^* \cong H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, given by the Universal Coefficients Theorem and the fact that X is simply connected. Denote by

$$[E]^\vee \quad \in \quad H_2(X, \mathbb{Q}) \tag{3.2}$$

the class corresponding to $\frac{-2([E], \bullet)}{([E],[E])}$, where both pairings in the fraction are the Beauville-Bogomolov pairing.

We identify $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ via the graph of the birational map. This graph induces a Hodge isometry and the isometry maps the class $[E] \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ to the class $[E'] \in H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$, by [OG1], Proposition 1.6.2. We get an identification of the dual groups $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_2(X',\mathbb{Z})$. The following Corollary was proven, in case E is an irreducible component of a contractible divisor, in [Ma6] Lemmas 4.10 and 4.23. We are now able to extend it to the more general case of a prime exceptional divisor E. The following is a Corollary of Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.5, and [Ma6], Lemmas 4.10 and 4.23.

Corollary 3.6. (1) *The class $[E]^\vee \in H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ corresponds to the class in $H_2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ of the generic fiber of the contraction $E' \to Y$ in Proposition 3.1. The generic fiber is either a smooth rational curve, or the union of two homologous smooth rational curves meeting at one point. In particular, $[E]^\vee$ is an integral class in $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ and R is an integral isometry.*

(2) *The reflection R is a monodromy operator in $Mon^2(X)$ as well as $Mon^2(X')$. R preserves the Hodge structure. The action of R on $H^{1,1}(X') \cong H^1(X',TX')$ induces an involution of $Def(X')$, which generates the Galois group of the double cover of the Kuranishi deformation spaces $Def(X') \to Def(Y)$.*

(3) *Either $[E]$ is a primitive class of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, or $[E]$ is twice a primitive class. Similarly, $[E]^\vee$ is either a primitive class or twice a primitive class. Finally, at least one of $[E]$ or $[E]^\vee$ is a primitive class*

Proof. 1) The singular locus of Y contains a unique irreducible component Σ of codimension 2, and Y has singularities of type A_1 or A_2 along the Zariski dense open subset $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_0$, by Proposition 3.5 (see also the classification of singularities in section 1.8 of [Na1]). When $X = X'$, the class $[E']^\vee$ is the class of the fiber of the composite morphism $E' \hookrightarrow X' \to Y$, by Lemmas 4.10 and 4.23 in [Ma6].

2) R is a monodromy operator in $Mon^2(X')$, by Lemmas 4.10 and 4.23 in [Ma6]. Now the isometry $Z_* : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$, induced by the graph Z of the birational map, is a parallel transport operator. This follows from the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [Hu2]. In this proof Huybrechts constructs a correspondence $\Gamma := Z + \sum_i Y_i$ in $X \times X'$ with the following properties. $\Gamma_* : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X',\mathbb{Z})$ is a parallel transport operator, Z is the closure of the graph of the birational map as above, and the image of Y_i in each factor X and X' has codimension ≥ 2. It follows that the two isometries Z_* and Γ_* coincide.

3) The statements about the divisibility of $[E]$ and $[E]^\vee$ follow from the equality $\int_{[E]^\vee}[E] = -2$. □

We denote by

$$e \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

the primitive class, such that either $[E]=e$ or $[E]=2e$. Let $e^\vee$ be the primitive class in $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, such that $[E]^\vee = e^\vee$ or $[E]^\vee = 2e^\vee$. The *divisibility factor* $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$, of the class $(e,\bullet) \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*$, is the positive number satisfying the equality $(e,\bullet) = \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)\cdot e^\vee$.

Lemma 3.7. *We have*

$$-\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) \quad = \quad \begin{cases} (e,e)/2 & \text{if} \quad [E]=e \text{ and } [E]^\vee = e^\vee, \\ (e,e) & \text{if} \quad [E]=2e \text{ and } [E]^\vee = e^\vee, \\ (e,e) & \text{if} \quad [E]=e \text{ and } [E]^\vee = 2e^\vee. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $[E]=k_1e$ and $[E]^\vee = k_2e^\vee$. Then

$$-(e,\bullet) = \frac{-1}{k_1}([E],\bullet) = \frac{([E],[E])}{2k_1}[E]^\vee = \frac{k_1(e,e)}{2}[E]^\vee = \frac{k_1k_2(e,e)}{2}e^\vee.$$

□

Remark 3.8. Let L be the line bundle with $c_1(L)=e$. Then $\dim H^0(X,L^n)$ is either 0 or 1, for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, by [Bou], Proposition 3.13. Hence, there exists at most one non-zero integer n, such that the linear system $|L^n|$ contains a prime divisor. In particular, for a given pair (X,e), at most one of the equalities $([E],[E]^\vee) = (e,2e^\vee)$ or $([E],[E]^\vee)=(2e,e^\vee)$ can hold, for some prime divisor E with $[E]\in \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e\}$. The same holds for an exceptional divisor, where the coefficients n_i in Definition 3.2 are integral and with $\gcd\{n_i \ : \ 1\leq i\leq k\}=1$.

4. HOLOMORPHIC SYMPLECTIC MANOFOLDS OF $K3^{[n]}$-TYPE

We prove Proposition 1.5 in this section. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. The lattice $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ has signature $(3,20)$ [Be1]. A 3-dimensional subspace of $H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$ is said to be *positive-definite*, if the Beauville-Bogomolov pairing restricts to it as a positive definite pairing. The unit 2-sphere, in any positive-definite 3-dimensional subspace, is a deformation retract of the positive cone $\mathcal{C}_+ \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{R})$, given by $\mathcal{C}_+ := \{\lambda\in H^2(X,\mathbb{R}) \ : \ (\lambda,\lambda)>0\}$. Hence, $H^2(\mathcal{C}_+,\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and is a natural representation of the isometry group $OH^2(X,\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $O_+H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ the index two subgroup of $OH^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, which acts trivially on $H^2(\mathcal{C}_+,\mathbb{Z})$.

The following result will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let X be of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n\geq 2$. Embed the lattice $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ in its dual lattice $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*$, via the Beauville-Bogomolov form.

Theorem 4.1. *(*[Ma5]*, Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 4.2). $Mon^2(X)$ is equal to the subgroup of $O_+H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, which acts via multiplication by 1 or -1 on the quotient group $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*/H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. The quotient $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*/H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is a cyclic group of order $2n-2$.*

Proof of Proposition 1.5:

Step 1: Let $R(x) := x - 2\frac{(x,e)}{(e,e)}e$ be the reflection by e. Assume that R belongs to $Mon^2(X)$. We may deform the pair (X,e), loosing the Hodge type of e, so that X is the Hilbert scheme $S^{[n]}$ of length n subschemes of a $K3$ surface S. $H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$ is Hodge-isometric to the orthogonal direct sum

$$H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta, \tag{4.1}$$

where δ is half the class of the big diagonal, and $(\delta,\delta) = 2-2n$ [Be1]. Let $\pi : S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ be the Hilbert-Chow morphism onto the symmetric product of S. The isometric embedding $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$ is given by the composition $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(S^{(n)},\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$. Write

$$e \quad = \quad xa + y\delta,$$

where the primitive class a belongs to $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ and x, y are integers satisfying:

$$\gcd(x,y) \quad = \quad 1. \tag{4.2}$$

The condition that the reflection R is integral implies that

$$\frac{2x}{(e,e)} \quad \text{is an integer.} \tag{4.3}$$

(Apply R to a class b in $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ with $(a,b)=1$). It also implies that

$$\frac{4y(n-1)}{(e,e)} \quad \text{is an integer.} \tag{4.4}$$

(Apply R to δ).

The condition that R is a monodromy operator implies that R maps to either 1 or -1 in the automorphism group of the quotient group $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*/H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, by Theorem 4.1. We state in (4.5) below a more explicit formulation of this condition. Write $R(\delta) = x'a' + y'\delta$, with x', y' integers, and the class a' is in the $K3$ lattice. We get

$$y' \quad := \quad 1 - \left[\frac{4y^2(1-n)}{(e,e)}\right].$$

The above condition on R is then equivalent to the following statement.

$$y' \text{ is congruent to } 1 \text{ or } -1 \text{ modulo } (2n-2). \tag{4.5}$$

We need to prove that $(e,e)=-2$, or that $(e,e)=2-2n$ and $n-1$ divides $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$. This follows from equations (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), and (4.5) via the following elementary argument. Note first that (4.2), (4.3), and (4.4) imply that (e,e) divides $\gcd(2x,4n-4)$. On the other hand, $\gcd(2x,2n-2)$ divides $(e,e)=x^2(a,a)+(2-2n)y^2$. Write $n-1=t2^k$, with t an odd integer. We get that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}(e,e) & = & -\gcd(2x,2n-2) \text{ or}\\ (e,e) & = & -2\gcd(2x,2n-2) \text{ and } 2^{(k+1)} \text{ divides } x.\end{array}$$

The rest follows from (4.5) as follows.

If y' is congruent to 1 modulo $(2n-2)$, then $\frac{4y^2(n-1)}{(e,e)}$ is congruent to 0 modulo $(2n-2)$. Hence (e,e) divides $2y^2$. We conclude that (e,e) divides $\gcd(2x,2y^2)$. Thus $(e,e)=-2$.

Assume next that y' is congruent to -1 modulo $(2n-2)$. Then $(2n-2)$ divides $1+y'=\frac{2x^2(a,a)}{(e,e)}$. So $(2n-2)$ divides $x^2(a,a)$. But $(e,e)=x^2(a,a)+y^2(2-2n)$. So

$$(2n-2) \text{ divides } (e,e). \tag{4.6}$$

If $(e,e)=-\gcd(2x,2n-2)$, then $(e,e)=2-2n$.

It remains to exclude the case where y' is congruent to -1 modulo $(2n-2)$, $(e,e)=-2\gcd(2x,2n-2)$ and $2^{(k+1)}$ divides x. Now $(2n-2)$ divides (e,e), by (4.6), and (e,e) divides $2x$, by (4.3). Thus t divides x. So $\gcd(2x,2n-2)=t2^{(k+1)}=2n-2$. So $(e,e)=-2\gcd(2x,2n-2)=-(4n-4)$. We get the equality $\frac{4y^2(n-1)}{(e,e)}=-y^2$. Condition (4.5) implies that $\frac{4y^2(n-1)}{(e,e)}$ is congruent to 2 modulo $(2n-2)$. But then 2 divides $\gcd(x,y)$ contradicting (4.2).

Step 2: Assume next that $(e,e)=-2$, or that $(e,e)=2-2n$ and $n-1$ divides $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$. If $(e,e)=-2$, then R belongs to $Mon^2(X)$ by [Ma2], Theorem 1.6. If $(e,e)=2-2n$, $n>2$, then R belongs to $O_+H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, by the assumption that $n-1$ divides $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$.

We may assume that $X=S^{[n]}$, for a $K3$ surface S. Then $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is the orthogonal direct sum $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\oplus\mathbb{Z}\delta$, with $(\delta,\delta)=2-2n$, as in (4.1). Write $e=x+t\delta$, $x\in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ and $t\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $x=(n-1)\xi$, for some class $\xi\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, by the assumption that $n-1$ divides $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$. Set $w:=\frac{\delta}{2n-2}$. Then $w+H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ generates the residual group $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*/H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$, and the latter is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(2n-2)\mathbb{Z}$. The equality $(e,e)=2-2n$ yields

$$(t^2-1)=\frac{(n-1)(\xi,\xi)}{2}. \tag{4.7}$$

We claim that R acts on $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*/H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ via multiplication by -1. Once the claim is proven, then R belongs to $Mon^2(X)$, by Theorem 4.1. Compute

$$R(w) = w - \frac{2(w,e)}{(e,e)}e = w - \frac{t}{n-1}[(n-1)\xi + t\delta] \equiv (1-2t^2)w,$$

where the last equivalence is modulo $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Equation (4.7) yields $2t^2 - 2 \equiv 0$ modulo $2n-2$. Hence, $1-2t^2 \equiv -1$ modulo $2n-2$, and the claim is proven. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Let X be of $K3^{[n]}$-type, L a line bundle on X, and set $\alpha := c_1(L)$. Then the sheaf-cohomology Euler characteristic of L is given by the binomial coefficient $\chi(L) = \binom{[(\alpha,\alpha)/2]+n+1}{n}$, by [Hu3], section 3.4, Example 7. We get the following equalities.

$$\chi(L) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad (\alpha,\alpha) = -2 \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad (\alpha,\alpha) = 2-2n \text{ and } n \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{rclllll} \chi(L^2) & = & 0 & \text{if} & (\alpha,\alpha) = -2 & \text{and} & n \geq 3, \\ \chi(L^2) & < & 0 & \text{if} & (\alpha,\alpha) = 2-2n & \text{and} & n \geq 2. \end{array}$$

5. Deformation equivalence

This section is influenced by an early draft of a paper of Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel, which was graciously communicated to the author [HT3].

5.1. The prime-exceptional property of pairs (X, L) is open.

Let X be a projective irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold and E a prime exceptional divisor. Set $c := [E] \in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. Given a point $t \in Def(X)$, let $c_t \in H^2(X_t,\mathbb{Z})$ be the class associated to c via the parallel transport isomorphism[1] $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_t,\mathbb{Z})$. Denote by R_c both the reflection of $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to c, as well as the involution of $Def(X)$. Let $D_c \subset Def(X)$ be the fixed locus of R_c. D_c is a smooth divisor in $Def(X)$, which is characterized also as the subset

$$D_c \quad := \quad \{t \in Def(X) \ : \ c_t \text{ is of Hodge type } (1,1)\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.1. *There exists an open subset $D_c^0 \subset D_c$, containing 0, such that for every $t \in D_c^0$ the class c_t is Poincare dual to a prime exceptional divisor E_t.*

---

[1] The local system $R^2\psi_*\mathbb{Z}$ over $Def(X)$ is trivial, since we may choose $Def(X)$ to be simply connected.

Proof. Let X', E', and Y be as in Proposition 3.1. Denote the image of $E' \rightarrow Y$ by B. The generic fiber of $E' \rightarrow B$ is either a smooth rational curve C, which normal bundle satisfies

$$N_{C/X'} \cong \omega_C \oplus \left(\oplus_{i=1}^{2n-2}\mathcal{O}_C\right),$$

or the union of two such curves meeting non-tangentially at one point, by Proposition 3.5. Druel shows that the exceptional locus of the birational map $X \rightarrow X'$ does not dominate B (see the proof of [D], Theorem 1.3). We conclude that a Zariski dense open subset of the original divisor E in X is covered by such rational curves. The proposition now follows from results of Ziv Ran about the deformations of such pairs (X, C) ([R1], Theorem 1, with further comments in [Ka]). Our argument is inspired by [HT1], Theorems 4.1 and 4.3. Note first that the class of the curve C remains of type $(n-1, n-1)$ over D_c, by part 1 of Corollary 3.6. Let $\psi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow D_c$ be the semi-universal family, $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow D_c$ the irreducible component of the relative Douady space containing the point t_0 representing the pair (X, C), and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H} \times_{D_c} \mathcal{X}$ the universal subscheme. We get the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & \mathcal{X} \arrow[d, "\psi"] \\
t_0 \in & \mathcal{H} \arrow[r, "\beta"] & D_c.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\pi : H^1(C, N_{C/X}) \rightarrow H^{2n}(\Omega_X^{2n-2})$ be the semi-regularity map. Then π is an isomorphism of these one-dimensional vector spaces (Observation (a) before Corollary 4 in [R1]). Theorem 1 of [R1] implies that the morphism β is surjective, of relative dimension $2n-2$, and it is smooth at the point t_0. It follows that $\mathcal{C}$ has relative dimension $2n-1$ over D_c, and $\mathcal{C}$ is smooth along the rational curve C over t_0. Furthermore, the fiber $(\beta \circ \alpha)^{-1}(0)$ contains a unique irreducible component $\widetilde{E}$, which dominates E, and $f : \widetilde{E} \rightarrow E$ has degree 1, by part 1 of Corollary 3.6.

We claim that the differential $df : T\mathcal{C} \rightarrow f^*T\mathcal{X}$ is injective along C. $T\mathcal{C}$ comes with a natural filtration $T_\alpha \subset T_{\beta\circ\alpha} \subset T\mathcal{C}$. $(f^*T\mathcal{X})_{|C}$ comes with the filtration $TC \subset (TX)_{|C} \subset (T\mathcal{X})_{|C}$. The homomorphism df is compatible with the filtarations and induces the identity on the first and third graded summands TC and $T_0(D_c)$. It suffices to prove injectivity on the middle graded summand. The above condition on $N_{C/X}$ implies, furthermore, that the evaluation homomorphism $H^0(N_{C/X}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow N_{C/X}$ is injective. It follows that the differential df is injective along C. Consequently, $f(\mathcal{C})$ determines a divisor $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{X}$, possibly after eliminating embedded components of $f(\mathcal{C})$, which are disjoint from the curve C. Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}$ intersects the fiber X of ψ along a divisor E_0 containing E and E_0 is reduced along E.

It remains to prove that E_0 is irreducible. Now the fiber X_t has a cyclic Picard group, for a generic $t \in D_c$. Hence, the generic fiber E_t of $\mathcal{E}$ is of class kc_t, for some positive integer k. Thus E_0 is of class kc. But the linear system $|kE|$ consists of a single divisor kE, by [Bou], Proposition 3.13. We get that $k = 1$, since E_0 is reduced along E. $\square$

Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to T$ be a smooth family of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds over a connected complex manifold T. Assume that there exists a section e of $R^2_{\pi_*}\mathbb{Z}$, everywhere of Hodge type $(1,1)$. Given a point $t \in T$, denote by L_t the line bundle on the fiber X_t with class e_t.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume given a point* $0 \in T$*, such that the fiber* X_0 *is projective and the linear system* $|L_0^k|$*, of the* k*-th tensor power, consists of a prime exceptional divisor* $E_0 \subset X_0$*, for some positive integer* k*. Then* $k = 1$ *or* 2*. Let* $Z \subset T$ *be the subset of points* $t \in T$*, such that* $h^0(X_t, L_t^k) > 1$*, or there exists a non-prime divisor, which is a member of the linear system* $|L_t^k|$*. Then* Z *is a proper and closed analytic subset of* T*. Furthermore, there exists an irreducible divisor* $\mathcal{E}$ *in* $\mathcal{X} \setminus \pi^{-1}(Z)$*, such that* $\mathcal{E}$ *intersects the fiber* $\pi^{-1}(t)$ *along a prime exceptional divisor* E_t *of class* ke_t*, for every* t *in the complement* $T \setminus Z$*.*

Proof. The integer k is 1 or 2 by Corollary 3.6. The dimension $h^0(X_t, L_t^k)$ is an upper-semi-continuous function on T, and so the locus where it is positive is a closed analytic subset of T. On the other hand, $h^0(X_t, L_t^k)$ is positive over an open subset, by Lemma 5.1. Hence, it is positive everywhere and L_t^k is effective for all t.

Let $Z_1 \subset T$ be the closed analytic subset, where $h^0(X_t, L_t^k) > 1$. We know that $h(X_0, L_0^k) = 1$, by [Bou], Proposition 3.13. Hence, we may assume, possibly after replacing T by $T \setminus Z_1$, that $h^0(X_t, L_t^k) = 1$, for all $t \in T$.

We prove next that the section e lifts to a line bundle $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E})$, for a divisor $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{X}$, which does not contain any fiber of π. The following is part of the edge exact sequence of the spectral sequence of the composite functor $\Gamma \circ \pi_*$ of push-forward and taking global sections.

$$H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T^*) \to H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^*) \to H^0(T, R^1_{\pi_*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^*) \to H^2(T, \mathcal{O}_T^*).$$

Let V be an open subset of T satisfying $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V^*) = 0$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then the restriction of e to V lifts to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_V$ over $\pi^{-1}(V)$. Now $\pi_*\mathcal{L}_V$ is a line-bundle over V, which must be trivial, by the vanishing of $H^1(V, \mathcal{O}_V^*)$. Hence, $H^0(\pi^{-1}(V), \mathcal{L}_V) \cong H^0(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$, and there exists a unique divisor $\mathcal{E}_V \subset \pi^{-1}(V)$, in the linear system $|\mathcal{L}_V|$, which does not contain any fiber of π. If V_1 and V_2 are two such open subsets of T, then the divisors $\mathcal{E}_{V_i}$ constructed above agree along $\pi^{-1}(V_1 \cap V_2)$, since they are canonical over any subset V of $V_1 \cap V_2$, over which $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V^*) = 0$, for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, we get a global divisor $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{X}$. Set $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{E})$.

We prove next that $\mathcal{E}$ is irreducible. Let $p : \mathcal{E} \to T$ be the restriction of π. Then p is a proper morphism, which is also flat by [Mat] application 2 page 150. All fibers of p are connected, since T is smooth, and in particular normal, and the fiber over 0 is connected. The morphism p is smooth along the smooth locus of E_0, and $\mathcal{E}$ is a local complete intersection in the smooth complex manifold $\mathcal{X}$. Hence, there exists precisely one irreducible component of $\mathcal{E}$ which contains E_0. Assume that there exists another irreducible component $\mathcal{E}'$. Then $\mathcal{E}'$ maps to a proper closed subset of T, which does not contain 0. But T is irreducible, and $\mathcal{E}'$ intersects each fiber of π along a subset, which is either empty or of codimension at least one. Hence, the codimension of $\mathcal{E}'$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is larger than one. This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{E}$ is a divisor. We conclude that $\mathcal{E}$ is irreducible.

The subset $Z \subset T$, consisting of points $t \in T$, where E_t is reducible or non-reduced, is a closed analytic subset of T, which does not contain 0. $\square$

Proposition 5.2 shows that the property that L is prime exceptional is *open* in any smooth and connected base T of a deformation of a pair (X, L), as long as it holds for at least one projective pair. One limiting case is that of a pair (X, L), where L is exceptional, in the sense of Definition 3.2, but no longer prime. However, the exceptional property is unfortunately not closed, as the following example shows.

Example 5.3. Let Y be the intersection of a quadric and a cubic in $\mathbb{P}^4$, which are tangent at one point y_0, such that Y has an ordinary double point at y_0. Let H be the hyperplane line bundle on Y. Y is a singular $K3$ surface of degree 6. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be the blow-up of Y at y_0 and $E \subset X$ its exceptional divisor. X is a smooth $K3$ surface. Set $L_0 := \pi^*H \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(2E)$. Then L_0 has degree -2, but L_0 is not exceptional. Set $c := c_1(L_0)$, let $D_c \subset Def(X)$ be the divisor defined in equation (5.1), and denote by L_t the line bundle on X_t with class c_t, $t \in D_c$. Then L_t has degree -2, and thus precisely one of L_t or L_t^{-1} is effective ([BHPV], Ch. VIII Prop. 3.6). The semi-continuity theorem implies that L_t is effective, since L_0^{-1} isn't and D_c is connected. For a generic $t \in D_c$, the pair (X_t, L_t) consists of a Kähler $K3$ surface, whose Picard group is generated by L_t. Hence, the linear system $|L_t|$ consists of a single smooth rational curve E_t. The analogue of Lemma 5.1 is known for such a pair (X_t, L_t), even if X_t is not projective. Hence, Proposition 5.2 applies as well. Let $D_c^0 \subset D_c$ be the subset of pairs (X_t, L_t), such that $L_t \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_t}(E_t)$, for a smooth connected rational curve E_t. We get that D_c^0 is non-empty and the complement $Z := D_c \setminus D_c^0$ is a closed analytic subset containing $0 \in D_c$. Consequently, the property of L_t being exceptional is not closed.

5.2. **Deformation equivalence and Torelli.** We introduce and relate three notions of deformation equivalence of pairs.

Definition 5.4. Let (X_i, E_i), $i = 1, 2$, be two pairs of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X_i, and an effective divisor $E_i \in Div(X_i)$. The two pairs are said to be *deformation equivalent*, if there exists a smooth proper family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to T$ of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, over a connected analytic space T with finitely many irreducible components, a holomorphic line-bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{X}$, a nowhere-vanishing section s of $\pi_*\mathcal{L}$, points $t_i \in T$, and isomorphisms $f_i : \mathcal{X}_{t_i} \to X_i$, such that $f_i((s_{t_i})_0) = E_i$. Above $(s_{t_i})_0$ denotes the zero divisor of s_{t_i} in X_{t_i}.

The relation is clearly symmetric and reflexive. It is also transitive, since we allow T to be reducible.

Definition 5.5. Let (X_i, L_i), $i = 1, 2$, be two pairs of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X_i, and a line bundle L_i. The two pairs are said to be *deformation equivalent*, if there exists a smooth proper family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to T$ of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, over a connected analytic space T with finitely many irreducible components, and a section e of $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$, which is everywhere of Hodge-type $(1, 1)$, points $t_i \in T$, and isomorphisms $f_i : \mathcal{X}_{t_i} \to X_i$, such that $(f_i)_*(e_{t_i}) = c_1(L_i)$.

Definition 5.6. Let (X_i, e_i), $i = 1, 2$, be two pairs of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X_i, and a class $e_i \in H^2(X_i, \mathbb{Z})$. The two pairs are said to be *deformation equivalent*, if there exists a smooth proper family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to T$ of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, over a connected analytic space T with finitely many irreducible components, a section e of $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$, points $t_i \in T$, and isomorphisms $f_i : \mathcal{X}_{t_i} \to X_i$, such that $(f_i)_*(e_{t_i}) = e_i$.

The three Definitions, 5.5, 5.4, and 5.6, fits in a hierarchy. If $L_i = \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(E_i)$, and $e_i := c_1(L_i)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &(X_1, E_1) \equiv (X_2, E_2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (X_1, L_1) \equiv (X_2, L_2), \\ &(X_1, L_1) \equiv (X_2, L_2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (X_1, e_1) \equiv (X_2, e_2). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Assume that the divisors E_i, $i = 1, 2$, are prime-exceptional, and X_1 is projective. Then both implications above are equivalences, assuming an affirmative answer to a version of the Torelli Question, by Proposition 5.12. For a qualified "converse" to the second implication (5.2), without assuming that L_1 and L_2 are effective, see Lemma 5.17.

5.2.1. *Period maps.* A *marking*, for an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X, is a choice of an isometry $\eta : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Lambda$ with a fixed lattice Λ. The *period*, of the marked manifold (X, η), is the line $\eta[H^{2,0}(X)]$ considered as a point in the projective space $\mathbb{P}[\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}]$. The period lies in the period domain

$$\Omega := \{\ell : (\ell, \ell) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\ell, \bar{\ell}) > 0\}. \tag{5.3}$$

Ω is an open subset, in the classical topology, of the quadric in $\mathbb{P}[\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}]$ of isotropic lines [Be1].

There is a (non-Hausdorff) moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ of marked irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, with a second integral cohomology lattice isometric to Λ [Hu1]. The period map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} P : \mathfrak{M}_\Lambda & \longrightarrow & \Omega, \\ (X, \eta) & \mapsto & \eta[H^{2,0}(X)] \end{array} \tag{5.4}$$

is a local isomorphism, by the Local Torelli Theorem [Be1]. The Surjectivity Theorem states that the restriction of the period map to every connected component of $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ is surjective [Hu1]. Assume given a bimeromorphic map $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and a marking η_1 for X_1. Let $f^* : H^2(X_2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_1, \mathbb{Z})$ be the isometry induced by the bimeromorphic map f (see the proof of Corollary 3.6). Set $\eta_2 = \eta_1 \circ f^*$. Then (X_1, η_1) and (X_2, η_2) are inseparable points of $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$ ([Hu2], Theorem 2.5). Conversely, Verbitsky recently posted a proof of an affirmative answer to the following version of the Torelli Question ([Ver], Theorem 4.24).

Question 5.7. Let $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$ be a connected component of $\mathfrak{M}_\Lambda$. Let (X_1, η_1) and (X_2, η_2) be two pairs in $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$ such that $P(X_1, \eta_1) = P(X_2, \eta_2)$. Does there necessarily exist a bimeromorphic map $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$, such that $\eta_2 = \eta_1 \circ f^*$?

Assume given two deformation equivalent pairs (X_i, e_i), $i = 1, 2$, in the sense of Definition 5.6. Then there exist isometries $\eta_i : H^2(X_i, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Lambda$, having the following two properties:

1. $\eta_1(e_1) = \eta_2(e_2)$.
2. The marked pairs (X_i, η_i) belong to the same connected component $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$.

Let λ be the common value $\eta_i(e_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. If both classes e_i belong to $H^{1,1}(X_i, \mathbb{Z})$, then the periods $P(X_i, \eta_i)$ belong to the hyperplane $\lambda^\perp \subset \mathbb{P}[\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}]$ orthogonal to λ. The intersection $\lambda^\perp \cap \Omega$ is connected.

Fix a primitive non-zero class $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $(\lambda, \lambda) < 0$. Let

$$\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda} \quad \subset \quad \mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$$

be the subset parametrizing marked pairs (X, η), such that $\eta^{-1}(\lambda)$ is of Hodge type $(1, 1)$, and $(\kappa, \eta^{-1}(\lambda)) > 0$, for some Kähler class κ on X.

Claim 5.8. *$\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ is an open subset of $P^{-1}(\lambda^\perp \cap \Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M}^0_+$ be the subset of $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$, consisting of marked pairs (X, η), such that $(\kappa, \eta^{-1}(\lambda)) > 0$, for some Kähler class κ on X. It suffices to prove that $\mathfrak{M}^0_+$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$. Let (X_0, η_0) be a point of $\mathfrak{M}^0_+$ and κ_0 a Kähler class on X_0 satisfying $(\kappa_0, \eta_0^{-1}(\lambda)) > 0$. Let $Def(X_0)$ be the Kuranishi deformation space and $\psi : \mathcal{X} \to Def(X_0)$ the semi-universal family with fiber X_0 over $0 \in Def(X_0)$. There exists an open subset U of $Def(X_0)$, and a differentiable section κ of the real vector bundle $(R^2_{\psi_*}\mathbb{R})_{|U}$, over U, such that κ_t is a Kähler class of X_t, for all $t \in U$, by the proof of the Stability of Kähler manifolds ([Voi], Theorem 9.3.3). We may identify U with an open subset of $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$ containing (X_0, η_0), by the Local Torelli Theorem. We get the continuous function $(\kappa_t, \eta_t^{-1}(\lambda))$ over U, which is positive at (X_0, η_0). Hence, there is an open subset $W \subset U$, containing (X_0, η_0), such that $(\kappa_t, \eta_t^{-1}(\lambda)) > 0$, for all $t \in W$. □

The Local Torelli Theorem implies that the period map restricts to a local isomorphism

$$P_\lambda \ : \ \mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \lambda^\perp \cap \Omega.$$

$\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ is thus a non-Hausdorff smooth complex manifold of dimension $b_2(X) - 3$.

5.2.2. *$\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ is path-connected if Torelli holds.*
Given a point $t \in \Omega$, set $\Lambda^{1,1}_t := \{x \in \Lambda \ : \ (x, t) = 0\}$.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $t \in \lambda^\perp \cap \Omega$ be a point, such that $\Lambda^{1,1}_t = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\lambda\}$. Then the fiber $P_\lambda^{-1}(t)$ consists of the isomorphism class of a single marked pair, assuming an affirmative answer to Question 5.7.*

Proof. Let (X, η) be a marked pair in $P_\lambda^{-1}(t)$. Set $\tilde{\lambda} := \eta^{-1}(\lambda)$. Then $H^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is spanned by $\tilde{\lambda}$, and there exists a Kähler class κ_0, such that $(\kappa_0, \tilde{\lambda}) > 0$. Let us first describe the three possibilities for the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}_X$ and the *birational Kähler cone* $\mathcal{BK}_X$ of X. Recall that

$\mathcal{BK}_X$ is the union of the subsets $f^*(\mathcal{K}_Y) \subset H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R})$, as f varies over all bimeromorphic maps $f : X \to Y$ from X to another irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold Y. Denote by $\tilde{\lambda}^\vee$ the primitive class in $H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})^*$, which is a positive multiple of $(\tilde{\lambda},\bullet)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_X$ be the connected component of the cone $\{\kappa \in H^{1,1}(X,\mathbb{R}) \ : \ (\kappa,\kappa) > 0\}$, which contains the Kähler cone.

Case 1: If $d\lambda^\vee$ is not represented by a rational curve, for any positive integer d, then $\mathcal{BK}_X = \mathcal{K}_X = \mathcal{C}_X$, by [Hu2], Corollary 3.3.

Case 2: Assume that $\tilde{\lambda}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-effective. Then $d\tilde{\lambda}$ is represented by a prime exceptional divisor $E \subset X$, for some positive integer d, which is uniruled, by [Bou], Proposition 4.7. Then

$$\mathcal{BK}_X = \mathcal{K}_X = \{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}_X \ : \ (\kappa,\tilde{\lambda}) > 0\},$$

by [Bou], Theorem 4.3.

Case 3: Assume that $d\tilde{\lambda}$ is not effective, for any positive integer d, but $d\tilde{\lambda}^\vee$ is represented by a rational curve, for some positive integer d. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{K}_X & = & \{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}_X \ : \ (\kappa,\tilde{\lambda}) > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{BK}_X & = & \mathcal{K}_X \cup \mathcal{K}'_X, \ \text{ where} \\ \mathcal{K}'_X & = & \{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}_X \ : \ (\kappa,\tilde{\lambda}) < 0\}, \end{array}$$

by [Bou], Theorem 4.3.

Let (X_1,η_1) and (X_2,η_2) be two marked pairs in $P_\lambda^{-1}(t)$. Then there exists a bimeromorphic map $f : X_1 \to X_2$, such that $\eta_2 = \eta_1 \circ f^*$, by the assumed affirmative answer to Question 5.7. Set $\tilde{\lambda}_i := \eta_i^{-1}(\lambda)$. Let κ_i be a Kähler class on X_i, such that $(\tilde{\lambda}_i,\kappa_i) > 0$. We have $(\tilde{\lambda}_1, f^*(\kappa_2)) = (\eta_1^{-1}(\lambda), f^*(\kappa_2)) = ((f^*)^{-1}\eta_1^{-1}(\lambda),\kappa_2) = (\eta_2^{-1}(\lambda),\kappa_2) = (\tilde{\lambda}_2,\kappa_2)$, since f^* is an isometry. We conclude the inequality

$$(\tilde{\lambda}_1, f^*(\kappa_2)) \ > \ 0. \tag{5.5}$$

If $f^*(\kappa_2)$ is not a Kähler class, then the birational Kähler cone $\mathcal{BK}_{X_1}$ consists of at least two connected components. Thus we must be in case 3. So $\kappa_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{X_1}$ and $f^*(\kappa_2)$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}'_{X_1}$. Hence, $(f^*(\kappa_2),\tilde{\lambda}_1) < 0$, by the characterization of $\mathcal{K}'_{X_1}$. This contradicts the inequality (5.5).

We conclude that $f^*(\kappa_2)$ is a Kähler class. Thus f is an isomorphism, by [Hu2], Proposition 2.1, and (X_1,η_1) and (X_2,η_2) are isomorphic marked pairs. □

Question 5.10. Let $(X_0,\eta_0) \in \mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$ be a marked pair, L a monodromy reflective line-bundle on X, and set $\lambda := \eta_0(c_1(L))$. Is $\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ a path-connected subset of $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$?

Proposition 5.11. *$\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ is a path-connected subset of $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$, assuming an affirmative answer to Question 5.7.*

Proof. Let (X,η) be a marked pair in $\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$. Then there exists a continuous path from (X,η) to (X_0,η_0), where $H^{1,1}(X_0,\mathbb{Z})$ is spanned by $\eta_0^{-1}(\lambda)$, by the Local Torelli Theorem.

Hence, it suffices to construct a continuous path between any two pairs (X_0,η_0) and (X_1,η_1) in $\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$, such that $H^{1,1}(X_i,\mathbb{Z})$ is cyclic, for $i=0,1$. Set $t_i := P(X_i,\eta_i)$, $i=0,1$. Let I be the closed interval $[0,1]$. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \lambda^\perp \cap \Omega$ be a continuous path from t_0 to t_1. Let $I_1 \subset I$ be the subset of points t, such that $\Lambda^{1,1}_{\gamma(t)}$ is cyclic. We may choose γ so that I_1 is a dense subset of I.

For each $t \in I_1$, there exists a unique isomorphism class of a marked pair (X_t,η_t) with period $\gamma(t)$, by Lemma 5.9. Choose an open path-connected subset $U_t \subset \mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$, containing (X_t,η_t), such that P_λ restricts to U_t as an open embedding. This is possible, by the Local Torelli Theorem. We get the open covering $\gamma(I) \subset \cup_{t\in I_1} P_\lambda(U_t)$. Choose a finite sub-covering $\cup_{j=0}^N P_\lambda(U_{t_j})$ of $\gamma(I)$, with $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = 1$. Choose an increasing subsequence $\tau_j := t_{i_j}$, $0 \leq j \leq k$, such that $\tau_0 = t_0$, $\tau_k = t_N$, and $P_\lambda(U_{\tau_j}) \cap P_\lambda(U_{\tau_{j+1}})$ is non-empty. Choose points $s_{j,j+1}$ in $P_\lambda(U_{\tau_j}) \cap P_\lambda(U_{\tau_{j+1}})$, such that $\Lambda^{1,1}_{s_{j,j+1}}$ is cyclic, and let $\tilde{s}_{j,j+1}$ be the unique point of $\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ over $s_{j,j+1}$. Then $\tilde{s}_{j,j+1}$ belongs to $U_{\tau_j} \cap U_{\tau_{j+1}}$. Choose continuous paths α_j in U_{τ_j} from $\tilde{s}_{j-1,j}$ to $(X_{\tau_j},\eta_{\tau_j})$, if $j>0$, and β_j in U_{τ_j} from $(X_{\tau_j},\eta_{\tau_j})$ to $\tilde{s}_{j,j+1}$, if $j<k$. Then the concatenated path $\beta_0\alpha_1\beta_1\cdots\alpha_{k-1}\beta_{k-1}\alpha_k$ is a continuous path from the isomorphism class of (X_0,η_0) to that of (X_1,η_1). □

5.2.3. *Deformation equivalent monodromy-reflective line-bundles are simultaneously stably-$\mathbb{Q}$-effective or not stably-$\mathbb{Q}$-effective.*

Proposition 5.12. *Let (X_1,E_1), (X_2,E_2) be two pairs, of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds X_i and prime exceptional divisors $E_i \subset X_i$. Assume that X_1 is projective and $(X_1,[E_1])$ is deformation equivalent to $(X_2,[E_2])$, in the sense of Definition 5.6. Assume that for some, and hence any, marking η_1 of X_1, Question 5.10 has an affirmative answer for the connected component $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$ of (X_1,η_1) and for $\lambda = \eta_1([E_1])$. Then (X_1,E_1) and (X_2,E_2) are deformation equivalent in the sense of Definition 5.4.*

Proof. We assume, for simplicity of notation, that the class $[E_i]$ is primitive. The generalization of the proof to the case $[E_i] = 2e_i$ is straightforward. As noted above, we can choose a marking η_2 of X_2, such that

(X_2, η_2) belongs to $\mathfrak{M}^0_\Lambda$ and $\eta_2([E_2]) = \lambda$. Any Käler class κ on X_2 satisfies $(\kappa, [E_2]) > 0$, since E_2 is effective [Hu1]. Hence, (X_2, η_2) belongs to $\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$.

Choose a continuous path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$ from (X_1, η_1) to (X_2, η_2). Further choose a sufficiently fine partition of the unit interval

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = 1$$

and open connected subsets $U_i \subset \mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, such that $\gamma([t_{i-1}, t_i])$ is contained in U_i, and the restriction of P to U_i is an open embedding $P_i : U_i \hookrightarrow \lambda^\perp \cap \Omega$. This is possible by the Local Torelli Theorem.

Claim 5.13. *For each $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$, there exists a marked pair (Y_i, φ_i) in $U_i \cap U_{i+1}$, such that Y_i is projective, and $\varphi_i^{-1}(\lambda)$ is the class of a prime exceptional divisor on Y_i.*

Proof. Following is an iterative process of constructing the pairs (Y_i, φ_i). Set $(Y_0, \varphi_0) = (X_1, \eta_1)$. Assume that $i = 1$, or that $1 < i \leq N - 1$ and (Y_j, φ_j) exists for all $1 \leq j < i$. The pair (Y_{i-1}, φ_{i-1}) belongs to U_i. Proposition 5.2 implies that there exists a closed analytic subvariety $Z_i \subset U_i$, not containing (Y_{i-1}, φ_{i-1}), such that for every (X, η) in $U_i \backslash Z_i$, $\eta^{-1}(\lambda)$ is the class of a prime exceptional divisor $E \subset X$. The locus of projective marked pairs is dense in $U_i \cap U_{i+1}$, by [Hu3], Proposition 21. Hence, there exists a projective pair (Y_i, φ_i) in $[U_i \setminus Z_i] \cap U_{i+1}$. □

Set $(Y_N, \eta_N) := (X_2, \eta_2)$. Let $D_i \subset Y_i$ be the prime exceptional divisor with $[D_i] = \eta_i^{-1}(\lambda)$. It remains to prove that (Y_{i-1}, D_{i-1}) is deformation equivalent to (Y_i, D_i), for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Both pairs (Y_{i-1}, φ_{i-1}) and (Y_i, φ_i) belong to $U_i \setminus Z_i$, by construction, for $i < N$, and by the characterization of Z_N in Proposition 5.2, for $i = N$. Proposition 5.2 exhibits a divisor $\mathcal{E}_i$ in the restriction of $\mathcal{X}$ to $U_i \setminus Z_i$, whose fiber over the pair (Y_i, φ_i) is D_i, and whose fiber over the pair (Y_{i-1}, φ_{i-1}) is D_{i-1}. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.12. □

The following variant of Proposition 5.12 will be used in the derivation of Conjecture 1.11 from Torelli.

Proposition 5.14. *Let X and Y be two irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds, with X projective, $E \subset X$ a prime exceptional divisor, and L a line bundle on Y. Set $c := c_1(L)$. Assume that $(X, [E])$ and (Y, c) are deformation equivalent in the sense of Definition 5.6. Assume further that there exists a Kähler class κ on Y, such that $(\kappa, c) > 0$. Finally assume an affirmative answer to Question 5.10 with a marking η for X and with $\lambda = \eta([E])$. Then L is stably-effective (in the sense of Conjecture 1.11.)*

The above Proposition was proven in the course of proving Proposition 5.12.

Corollary 5.15. *Let* (X_1, L_1) *and* (X_2, L_2) *be two pairs, each of an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold* X_i*, and a monodromy-reflective line bundle* L_i*. Set* $e_i := c_1(L_i)$*. Assume that* X_1 *is projective,* ke_1 *is the class of a prime exceptional divisor* E_1*, for some non-zero integer* k*, and* $H^0(X_2, L_2^d)$ *vanishes, for all non-zero integers* d*. Finally assume an affirmative answer to Question 5.10 with a marking* η *for* X_1 *and with* $\lambda = \eta(e_1)$*. Then the pairs* (X_1, e_1) *and* (X_2, e_2) *are not deformation equivalent, in the sense of Definition 5.6.*

Proof. If (X_1, e_1) and (X_2, e_2) were deformation equivalent, in the sense of Definition 5.6, then $H^0(X_2, L_2^d)$ would not vanish for $d = k$ or $d = -k$, by Proposition 5.14 and the semi-continuity theorem. □

5.3. **Deformation equivalence and monodromy-invariants.** Let $Mon^2(X)$ be the monodromy group, introduced in Definition 1.3. Let $I(X) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be a $Mon^2(X)$-invariant subset and let Σ be a set.

Definition 5.16. A function $f : I(X) \to \Sigma$ is a *monodromy-invariant*, if $f(e) = f(g(e))$, for all $g \in Mon^2(X)$. The function f is said to be a *faithful* monodromy-invariant, if the function $\bar{f} : I(X)/Mon^2(X) \to \Sigma$, induced by f, is injective.

Given an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X', deformation equivalent to X, denote by $I(X') \subset H^2(X', \mathbb{Z})$ the set of all classes e', such that (X', e') is deformation equivalent to (X, e), for some $e \in I(X)$, in the sense of Definition 5.6.

Assume that $f : I(X) \to \Sigma$ is a monodromy-invariant function. Then f admits a natural extension to a function $f : I(X') \to \Sigma$, for every irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold X' deformation equivalent to X. The extension is uniquely determined by the following condition. *Given any smooth and proper family* $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to T$*, of irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifolds deformation equivalent to* X*, and any flat section* e *of the local system* $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$*, the function* $f(e)$ *is locally constant, in the classical topology of the analytic space* T*.* We denote this extension by f as well. The following statement relates monodromy invariants to deformation equivalence.

Lemma 5.17. *Let* $f : I(X) \to \Sigma$ *be a faithful monodromy-invariant function. Assume given two pairs* (X_i, e_i)*,* $i = 1, 2$*, with* X_i *deformation equivalent to* X *and* $e_i \in I(X_i)$*.*

1. $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$ *if and only if* (X_1, e_1) *and* (X_2, e_2) *are deformation equivalent, in the sense of Definition 5.6.*

(2) *Assume that* $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$, $e_i = c_1(L_i)$, *for holomorphic line bundles* L_i *on* X_i, *and there exist Kähler classes* κ_i *on* X_i, *satisfying* $(\kappa_i, e_i) > 0$, *for* $i = 1, 2$. *Assume, furthermore, an affirmative answer to Question 5.10 with a marking* η *for* X_1 *and with* $\lambda = \eta(e_1)$. *Then* (X_1, L_1) *is deformation equivalent to* (X_2, L_2), *in the sense of Definition 5.5.*

Proof. Part 1 is evident. Part 2 follows from part 1 and the assumed connectedness of $\mathfrak{M}^0_{\Lambda,\lambda}$. □

6. Monodromy-invariants from Mukai's isomorphism

Let S be a $K3$ surface and M a smooth and projective moduli space of stable coherent sheaves on S. In section 2.1 we recalled Mukai's embedding $\theta^{-1} : H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K(S)$, of the second cohomology of M, as a sub-lattice of the Mukai lattice. In section 6.1 we use this embedding to define a monodromy invariant of a class in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. The values of this monodromy invariant, for monodromy-reflective classes, are calculated in sections 6.2 and 7.

6.1. **A rank two sub-lattice of the Mukai lattice.** Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be the unimodular lattice $E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus U^{\oplus 4}$, where U is the rank two unimodular hyperbolic lattice. $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is isometric to the Mukai lattice of a $K3$ surface. Let X be an irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of $K3^{[n]}$-type, $n \geq 2$. Choose an embedding $\iota : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}$ in the canonical $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit of X provided by Theorem 1.6. Let v be a generator of the rank 1 sub-lattice of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ orthogonal to the image of ι. Then $(v, v) = 2n - 2$. Let e be a primitive class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$. We get the sub-lattice

$$L \quad := \quad \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{e, v\} \quad \subset \quad \widetilde{\Lambda},$$

where we denote by e also the element $\iota(e)$. Let

$$\widetilde{L} \tag{6.1}$$

be the saturation of L in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Note that the pair $(\widetilde{L}, e)$ determines the lattice L via the equality $L = \mathbb{Z}e + [e^{\perp} \cap \widetilde{L}]$.

Definition 6.1. Two pairs (L_i, e_i), $i = 1, 2$, each consisting of a lattice L_i and a class $e_i \in L_i$, are said to be *isometric*, if there exists an isometry $g : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$, such that $g(e_1) = e_2$.

Let U be the rank 2 even unimodular hyperbolic lattice. Let $U(2)$ be the rank 2 lattice with Gram-matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Let H_{ev} be the rank

2 lattice with Gram-matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $I''_n(X) \subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be the subset of primitive classes of degree $2-2n$, such that $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1$ or $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2$. Let $I_n(\widetilde{L}) \subset \widetilde{L}$ be the subset of primitive classes of degree $2-2n$. Let ρ be the largest positive integer, such that $(e+v)/\rho$ is an integral class. Define the integer σ similarly using $(e-v)$.

Proposition 6.2. (1) *The isometry class of the lattice $\widetilde{L}$ is determined as follows.*

$$\widetilde{L} \;\cong\; \begin{cases} U & \text{if } \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2, \\ H_{ev} & \text{if } \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1 \text{ and } n \text{ is even}, \\ U(2) & \text{if } \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1 \text{ and } n \text{ is odd}, \ n \not\equiv 1 \ (mod\, 8). \\ U(2) & \text{if } \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1, n \equiv 1 \ (mod\, 8) \text{ and } \rho\sigma = 2n-2. \\ H_{ev} & \text{if } \ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1, n \equiv 1 \ (mod\, 8) \text{ and } \rho\sigma = n-1. \end{cases}$$

(2) *Consider the function*

$$f : I''_n(X) \ \longrightarrow \ I_n(U)/O(U) \cup I_n(U(2))/O(U(2)) \cup I_n(H_{ev})/O(H_{ev}),$$

which sends the pair (X,e), $e \in I''_n(X)$, to the isometry class of the pair $(\widetilde{L},e)$, consisting of the primitive sub-lattice $\widetilde{L} \subset \widetilde{\Lambda}$, given in equation (6.1), and the class $e \in I_n(\widetilde{L})$. Then f is a faithful monodromy-invariant function (Definition 5.16).

The proposition is proven below in Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3. We provide an explicit and easily computable classification of the isometry classes of the pairs $(\widetilde{L},e)$ in Lemma 6.4.

Let L_0 be a rank 2 even lattice of signature $(1,1)$. Let $I_n(L_0) \subset L_0$ be the subset of primitive classes e with $(e,e) = 2-2n$. Let $I_{L_0,n}(X) \subset I''_n(X)$ be the subset consisting of classes e, such that the lattice $\widetilde{L}$ in equation (6.1) is isometric to L_0. Consider the function

$$f : I_{L_0,n}(X) \to I_n(L_0)/O(L_0), \tag{6.2}$$

which sends the pair (X,e) to the isometry class of the pair $(\widetilde{L},e)$. The faithfulness statement in Proposition 6.2 follows from the following general statement.

Lemma 6.3. *The function f, given in (6.2), is a faithful monodromy invariant.*

Proof. Let e_1, e_2 be two classes in $I_{L_0,n}(X)$. Denote by $\widetilde{L}_j$ the primitive rank 2 sub-lattice of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ associated to e_j in equation (6.1), via a primitive embedding $\iota : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$ in the canonical $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$-orbit, $j = 1,2$. Denote $\iota(e_j)$ by e_j as well.

Assume that $f(e_1)=f(e_2)$. Then there exists an isometry $g:\widetilde{L}_1\rightarrow\widetilde{L}_2$, such that $g(e_1)=e_2$. Let $v\in\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be a generator of $\iota[H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})]^\perp$. Then v is orthogonal to e_j. Hence, $g(v)=v$ or $g(v)=-v$. If $g(v)=-v$, set $g':=-(R_{e_2}\circ g)$. Then $g':\widetilde{L}_1\rightarrow\widetilde{L}_2$ is an isometry satisfying $g'(e_1)=e_2$ and $g'(v)=v$. Hence, we may assume that $g(v)=v$.

There exists an isometry $\gamma\in O_+(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, such that $\gamma(\widetilde{L}_1)=\widetilde{L}_2$ and γ restricts to $\widetilde{L}_1$ as g, by [Ni], Theorem 1.14.4. Then $\gamma(v)=v$ and so $\gamma\circ\iota=\iota\circ\mu$, for some isometry $\mu\in O_+H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. The fact that the isometry μ extends to $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ implies that μ belongs to $Mon^2(X)$, by [Ma2], Theorem 1.6 (see also Lemma 4.10 part (3) in [Ma2]). Now $\iota(\mu(e_1))=\gamma(\iota(e_1))=\iota(e_2)$. So $\mu(e_1)=e_2$. $\square$

6.2. **Isometry orbits in three rank two lattices.** Set

$$M_U:=\begin{pmatrix}0 & -1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix},\quad M_{H_{ev}}:=\begin{pmatrix}2 & 0\\ 0 & -2\end{pmatrix},\quad M_{U(2)}:=\begin{pmatrix}0 & -2\\ -2 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Given an integer m, let $\mathcal{F}(m)$ be the set of unordered pairs $\{r,s\}$ of positive integers, such that $rs=m$ and $\gcd(r,s)=1$. Set

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\Sigma_n(U) & := & \mathcal{F}(n-1),\\ \Sigma_n(U(2)) & := & \mathcal{F}([n-1]/2), \text{ if } n \text{ is odd},\\ \Sigma_n(H_{ev}) & := & \left\{\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{F}(n-1) & \text{if} \quad n\not\equiv 1 \text{ (modulo) } 4,\\ \mathcal{F}([n-1]/4) & \text{if} \quad n\equiv 1 \text{ (modulo) } 4.\end{array}\right.\end{array}$$

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\widetilde{L}$ be U, H_{ev}, or $U(2)$, and $e\in I_n(\widetilde{L})$, $n\geq 2$. Choose a generator v of the sub-lattice of $\widetilde{L}$ orthogonal to e.*

1. *Let ρ be the largest positive integer, such that $(e+v)/\rho$ is an integral class of $\widetilde{L}$. Define the integer σ similarly using $(e-v)$. Then $\gcd(\rho,\sigma)$ is 1 or 2.*
2. *The integers $r:=\rho/\gcd(\rho,\sigma)$ and $s:=\sigma/\gcd(\rho,\sigma)$ have the following properties.*
   - (a) *If $\widetilde{L}=U$, then $rs=n-1$, and the classes $\alpha:=\frac{e+v}{2r}$ and $\beta:=\frac{e-v}{2s}$ form a basis of $\widetilde{L}$ with Gram-matrix M_U.*
   - (b) *If $\widetilde{L}=U(2)$, then n is odd, $rs=(n-1)/2$, and the classes $\alpha:=\frac{e+v}{2r}$ and $\beta:=\frac{e-v}{2s}$ form a basis of $\widetilde{L}$ with Gram-matrix $M_{U(2)}$.*
   - (c) *If $\widetilde{L}=H_{ev}$ and n is even, then $rs=n-1$ and the classes $\alpha:=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{r}-\frac{e-v}{s}\right]$ and $\beta:=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{r}+\frac{e-v}{s}\right]$ form a basis of $\widetilde{L}$ with Gram-matrix $M_{H_{ev}}$.*

(d) *If* $\widetilde{L} = H_{ev}$ *and* n *is odd, then* $n \equiv 1$ *modulo* 4, $rs = (n-1)/4$, *and the classes* $\alpha := \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{2r} - \frac{e-v}{2s}\right]$ *and* $\beta := \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{2r} + \frac{e-v}{2s}\right]$ *form a basis of* $\widetilde{L}$ *with Gram-matrix* $M_{H_{ev}}$.

(3) *If we replace* v *by* $-v$, *then* (r, s) *gets replaced by* (s, r).

(4) *Let* $rs : I_n(\widetilde{L}) \to \Sigma_n(\widetilde{L})$ *be the function, which assigns to a class* $e \in I_n(\widetilde{L})$ *the unordered pair* $\{r, s\}$ *occurring in the above factorization. Then* rs *factors through a one-to-one correspondence*

$$\overline{rs} \ : \ I_n(\widetilde{L})/O(\widetilde{L}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Sigma_n(\widetilde{L}).$$

Proof. Let $\{u_1, u_2\}$ be a basis of $\widetilde{L}$ with Gram-matrix $M_{\widetilde{L}}$. Observe first that $O(\widetilde{L})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, each of $O(U)$ and $O(U(2))$ is generated by $-id$ and the isometry, which interchanges u_1 and u_2. $O(H_{ev})$ is generated by the two commuting reflections with respect to u_1 and u_2. Write

$$e = au_1 + bu_2.$$

Case $\widetilde{L} = U$. We have $n - 1 = -(e, e)/2 = ab$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, since e is primitive. Note also that a and b have the same sign. Set $v := au_1 - bu_2$. Then $\frac{e+v}{2a} = u_1$ and $\frac{e-v}{2b} = u_2$. Thus $r = |a|$ and $s = |b|$, and part 2 holds. Part 3 is clear. Part 4 follows from part 3 and the identification of $O(U)$ above.

Case $\widetilde{L} = U(2)$. We may identify the free abelian groups underlying U and $U(2)$, so that the bilinear form on $U(2)$ is 2 times that of U. The statement of the Lemma follows immediately from the case $\widetilde{L} = U$.

Case $\widetilde{L} = H_{ev}$. We have $2 - 2n = (e, e) = 2(a - b)(a + b)$. So $b - a$ and $b + a$ have the same sign, since $n \geq 2$. If n is odd, then both a and b are odd, since $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and $(a - b)(a + b)$ is even. If n is even, then $\{a, b\}$ consists of one odd and one even integer. Furthermore,

$$\gcd(b - a, b + a) = \gcd(b - a, 2a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\ 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

Choose $v = bu_1 + au_2$. We have

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{a+b} - \frac{e-v}{b-a}\right], \quad u_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{a+b} + \frac{e-v}{b-a}\right].$$

Hence, $r = |a + b|$ and $s = |b - a|$, if n is even, and $r = |a + b|/2$ and $s = |b - a|/2$, if n is odd. The rest is similar to the case $\widetilde{L} = U$. $\square$

The following table summarizes how the statements of Proposition 6.2 and Lemma 6.4 determine the lattice $\widetilde{L}$ and the pair $\{r,s\}$ in terms of (e,e), $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$, n, and $\{\rho,\sigma\}$.

	(e,e)	$\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$	n	$\rho\sigma$	$\widetilde{L}$	$\{r,s\}$	$r\cdot s$
1)	$2-2n$	$2n-2$	≥ 2	$4n-4$	U	$\{\frac{\rho}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2}\}$	$n-1$
2)	$2-2n$	$n-1$	even	$n-1$	H_{ev}	$\{\rho,\sigma\}$	$n-1$
3)	$2-2n$	$n-1$	odd	$2n-2$	$U(2)$	$\{\frac{\rho}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2}\}$	$(n-1)/2$
4)	$2-2n$	$n-1$	$\equiv 1$ modulo 8	$n-1$	H_{ev}	$\{\frac{\rho}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2}\}$	$(n-1)/4$

In line 3 cases where $n\equiv 1$ modulo 8 occur as well.

7. MONODROMY-INVARIANTS OF MONODROMY-REFLECTIVE CLASSES

Fix $n\geq 2$. Let X be a (Kähler) irreducible holomorphic symplectic manifold of $K3^{[n]}$-type. We define in this section the monodromy invariant function rs of Proposition 1.8 and prove that proposition. Part 2 of the Proposition was treated in [Ma2], Lemma 8.9. We thus consider only part 1. We will relate this latter part to Proposition 6.2 and prove Proposition 6.2.

It will be convenient to use the following normalization. Fix an isometry $\widetilde{\Lambda}\cong K(S)$, for some $K3$ surface S, and use Mukai's notation for classes in the Mukai lattice $K(S)$. The isometry group $O(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ acts transitively on the set of primitive classes in $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ of degree $2n-2$. Hence, we may choose the embedding $\iota : H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})\rightarrow\widetilde{\Lambda}$, so that $v=(1,0,1-n)$ is orthogonal to the image of ι. Then $v^\perp = H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})\oplus\mathbb{Z}\delta$, where $\delta := (1,0,n-1)$. Thus

$$e \quad = \quad x+t\delta, \tag{7.1}$$

for some integer t and a class $x\in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$.

7.1. The divisibility case $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=(e,e)$.

Let $I_n(X)\subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be the subset of all primitive classes e, satisfying $(e,e)=2-2n$ and $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=2n-2$. Recall that $\Sigma_n(U)$ is the set of unordered pairs $\{r,s\}$ of positive integers, such that $rs=n-1$ and $\gcd(r,s)=1$.

Lemma 7.1. *If e belongs to $I_n(X)$, then $\widetilde{L}$ is isometric to the unimodular hyperbolic plane U. Denote by*

$$rs \ : \ I_n(X) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Sigma_n(U)$$

the composition of the function $f : I_n(X) \to I_n(U)/O(U)$, *defined in equation (6.2), with the bijection* $\overline{rs} : I_n(U)/O(U) \to \Sigma_n(U)$ *constructed in Lemma 6.4. Then the function* $rs : I_n(X) \to \Sigma_n(U)$ *is surjective and a faithful monodromy-invariant (Definition 5.16).*

Proof. Write $e = x + t\delta$ as in equation (7.1). The assumption that $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n - 2$ implies that $x = (2n-2)\xi$, for a class $\xi \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$. We clearly have the equality

$$2 - 2n = (e, e) = (x, x) + t^2(\delta, \delta) = (2-2n)^2(\xi, \xi) + (2-2n)t^2.$$

Hence, we get the equality

$$t^2 - 1 \quad = \quad (2n-2)(\xi, \xi).$$

Consequently, $4n - 4$ divides $(t-1)(t+1)$. Thus $n-1$ divides $\frac{t-1}{2}\frac{t+1}{2}$. Now $\gcd\left(\frac{t-1}{2}, \frac{t+1}{2}\right) = 1$. We get a unique factorization $n - 1 = rs$, where s divides $(t-1)/2$, r divides $(t+1)/2$, and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. We may assume that s is odd, possibly after replacing the embedding ι by $-\iota$, which replaces t by $-t$.

Using the above factorization $n - 1 = rs$, we get

$$e + v = 2r\alpha, \quad \text{where,} \quad \alpha := \left(\frac{t+1}{2r}, s\xi, \frac{(t-1)s}{2}\right)$$
$$e - v = 2s\beta, \quad \text{where,} \quad \beta := \left(\frac{t-1}{2s}, r\xi, \frac{(t+1)r}{2}\right)$$

and the classes α and β belongs to $\widetilde{L}$. The Gram-matrix of $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is $\left(\begin{array}{cc} (\alpha,\alpha) & (\alpha,\beta) \\ (\alpha,\beta) & (\beta,\beta) \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (e+v,e+v)/4r^2 & (e+v,e-v)/4rs \\ (e+v,e-v)//4rs & (e-v,e-v)/4s^2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$.

We conclude that $\mathrm{span}\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a unimodular sub-lattice of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Hence, $\widetilde{L} = \mathrm{span}\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\widetilde{L} \cong U$.

The function rs is shown to be surjective in Example 7.2. The faithfulness of the monodromy-invariant rs was proven in Lemma 6.3. □

Example 7.2. (Compare with section 2.2 above). Choose a factorization $n - 1 = rs$, with $s \geq r > 0$, and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. Let S be a projective $K3$ surface, $v = (r, 0, -s) \in K(S)$, H a v-generic polarization, and $X = M_H(r, 0, -s)$. Let $\iota : H^2(M_H(r, 0, -s), \mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow K(S)$ be the embedding given in (2.3). Set $e := \theta(r, 0, s)$. The class e is monodromy-reflective and $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n-2$. Now $(v+e)/2r = (1, 0, 0)$ and $(e - v)/2s = (0, 0, 1)$. We get that $rs(e) = \{r, s\}$, by Lemma 6.4.

7.2. **The divisibility case** $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=(e,e)/2$**.**
Let n be an integer ≥ 2. Let $I'_n(X)\subset H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ be the subset of all primitive classes e satisfying $(e,e)=2-2n$, and $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=n-1$. Set $\Sigma'_n := \left\{\begin{array}{cl} \Sigma_n(H_{ev}) & \text{if } \ n \text{ is even,} \\ \Sigma_n(U(2)) & \text{if } \ n \text{ is odd, but } n\not\equiv 1 \text{ modulo } 8. \\ \Sigma_n(U(2))\cup\Sigma_n(H_{ev}) & \text{if } \ n\equiv 1 \text{ modulo } 8. \end{array}\right.$
In each of the above three cases, let $\mathcal{IC}_n$ be the union of the sets $I_n(\widetilde{L})/O(\widetilde{L})$ as $\widetilde{L}$ ranges through the one or two lattices appearing.

Lemma 7.3. *Let e be a class in $I'_n(X)$.*

1. *If n is even, then $\widetilde{L}$ is isometric to H_{ev}.*
2. *If n is odd, then $\widetilde{L}$ is isometric to $U(2)$ or to H_{ev}. The latter occurs only if $n\equiv 1$ modulo 8.*

In both cases, let

$$rs \ : \ I'_n(X) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Sigma'_n$$

be the composition of the function $f: I'_n(X)\rightarrow \mathcal{IC}_n$, defined in equation (6.2), with the bijection $\overline{rs}:\mathcal{IC}_n\rightarrow\Sigma'_n$, constructed in Lemma 6.4. Then the function $rs: I'_n(X)\rightarrow\Sigma'_n$ is surjective and a faithful monodromy-invariant (Definition 5.16).

Proof. Let us first observe that $\widetilde{L}$ can not be unimodular. Assume otherwise. Then $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ decomposes as an orthogonal direct sum $\widetilde{L}\oplus\widetilde{L}^\perp$. Consequently, $v^\perp$ decomposes as the orthogonal direct sum $\widetilde{L}^\perp\oplus\mathbb{Z}\{e\}$. But then $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=2n-2$.

We keep the normalization $e=x+t\delta$ of equation (7.1). The assumption that $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=n-1$ implies that $x=(n-1)\xi$, for a class $\xi\in H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$. We have the equality

$$(t^2-1)=\frac{(n-1)(\xi,\xi)}{2},$$

deduced in (4.7). Hence, $n-1$ divides t^2-1.

Case n is even: Then $n-1$ is odd. Set

$$r:=\gcd(t+1,n-1), \quad s:=\gcd(t-1,n-1).$$

Then both r and s are odd and $\gcd(r,s)$ divides $\gcd(t-1,t+1)$. We conclude that $\gcd(r,s)=1$ and rs divides $n-1$. Now $n-1$ divides $(t-1)(t+1)$. Thus, $n-1$ divides rs and so $rs=n-1$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &:= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{r}-\frac{e-v}{s}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t+1}{r}-\frac{t-1}{s},(s-r)\xi,(s-r)t-s-r\right) \\ \beta &:= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{r}+\frac{e-v}{s}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t+1}{r}+\frac{t-1}{s},(s+r)\xi,(s+r)t+r-s\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note the equality $\frac{t+1}{r} - \frac{t-1}{s} = \frac{(s-r)t+s+r}{rs}$ and the fact that the denominator is odd, while the numerator is even. Hence, α, β are integral classes of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} (\alpha,\alpha) & (\alpha,\beta) \\ (\alpha,\beta) & (\beta,\beta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Claim 7.4. $\widetilde{L} = \mathrm{span}\{\alpha,\beta\}$.

Proof. Suppose otherwise. Then $\widetilde{L}$ strictly contains $L' := \mathrm{span}\{\alpha,\beta\}$. Let d be the index of L' in $\widetilde{L}$. Then the determinant of the Gram-matrix of $\widetilde{L}$ is d^2 times the determinant of the Gram-matrix of L'. The latter determinant is -4. It follows that $\widetilde{L}$ is unimodular. A contradiction. ☐

Case n is odd: Then t is odd, by equation (4.7). Set

$$r := \gcd\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{t+1}{2}\right), \quad s := \gcd\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{t-1}{2}\right).$$

Then rs divides $(n-1)/2$, since $\gcd\left(\frac{t+1}{2}, \frac{t-1}{2}\right) = 1$.

Case n is odd and $(\xi,\xi)/2$ is even:
Then $(n-1)/2$ divides $(t+1)(t-1)/4$, by equation (4.7). Hence, $rs = (n-1)/2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &:= \frac{e+v}{2r} = \left(\frac{t+1}{2r}, s\xi, s(t-1)\right), \\ \beta &:= \frac{e-v}{2s} = \left(\frac{t-1}{2s}, r\xi, r(t+1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then α ane β are integral classes of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} (\alpha,\alpha) & (\alpha,\beta) \\ (\alpha,\beta) & (\beta,\beta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We conclude the equality $\widetilde{L} = \mathrm{span}\{\alpha,\beta\}$, by the argument used in Claim 7.4.

Case n is odd and $(\xi,\xi)/2$ is odd: Let 2^k be the largest power of 2, which divides t^2-1. Then $k \geq 3$. Furthermore, 2^k divides $n-1$, by equation (4.7). Thus $n \equiv 1$ (modulo 8). The set $\{r,s\}$ consists of one odd and one even integer. Say s is odd. Then 2^{k-2} is the largest power of 2, which divides r. We conclude that $rs = (n-1)/4$. Furthermore, both $(t+1)/2r$ and $(t-1)/2s$ are odd. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &:= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{2r} + \frac{e-v}{2s}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t+1}{2r} + \frac{t-1}{2s}, (2s+2r)\xi, 2s(t-1)+2r(t+1)\right) \\ \beta &:= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{e+v}{2r} - \frac{e-v}{2s}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{t+1}{2r} - \frac{t-1}{2s}, (2s-2r)\xi, 2s(t-1)-2r(t+1)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then α and β are integral classes of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}(\alpha,\alpha) & (\alpha,\beta)\\ (\alpha,\beta) & (\beta,\beta)\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}-2 & 0\\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$. We conclude the equality $\widetilde{L} = \mathrm{span}\{\alpha,\beta\}$, by the argument used in Claim 7.4.

The function rs is shown to be surjective in Examples 7.5 and 7.6. The faithfulness of the monodromy invariant rs was proven in Lemma 6.3. $\square$

Example 7.5. Let $s > r \geq 1$ be positive integers with $\gcd(r,s) = 1$. Set $n := rs + 1$. Let S be a projective $K3$ surface, set $v := (r, 0, -s)$, and let H be a v-generic polarization of S. Set $M := M_H(v)$. Let A be a primitive isotropic class in $H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. Set $e := \theta(r, (n-1)A, s)$. Then e is monodromy-reflective and $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1$. If n is even, then (M,e) is an example of case 1 of Lemma 7.3, with $rs(e) = \{r,s\}$. If n is odd, then $n-1 = rs$ is even and precisely one of r or s is even. (M,e) is an example of case 2 of Lemma 7.3, with $\widetilde{L} \cong U(2)$ and $rs(e) = \{r/2, s\}$, if r is even, and $rs(e) = \{r, s/2\}$, if s is even.

Example 7.6. We exhibit next examples of the case of Lemma 7.3, where $X = S^{[n]}$, $n \equiv 1$ modulo 8, and $\widetilde{L} \cong H_{ev}$. Set $n = 8k+1$, k an integer ≥ 1. Choose a factorization $2k = rs$, with r even, s odd, and $\gcd(r,s) = 1$. There exists an integer λ, such that $\lambda r \equiv -1$ modulo s, since $\gcd(s,r) = 1$. If λ is a solution, so is $\lambda + s$. Hence, we may assume that λ is an odd and positive integral solution. Set $g := [r\lambda + 1]/s$. Then g is a positive odd integer.

Let S be a $K3$ surface with a primitive class $\xi \in \mathrm{Pic}(S)$ of degree $(\xi,\xi) = 2\lambda g$. Set

$$v := (1, 0, 1-n) \quad \text{and} \quad e := (2\lambda r + 1, (n-1)\xi, [2\lambda r + 1](n-1)).$$

Then $(e,e) = 2 - 2n$, by following two equalities.

$$(e,e) = (n-1)[2\lambda g(n-1) - 2(4\{r^2\lambda^2 + r\lambda\} + 1)]$$

and $2\lambda g(n-1) = 8r\lambda sg = 8r\lambda(r\lambda+1)$. The class e is primitive, since $\gcd(2\lambda r+1, n-1) = \gcd(2\lambda r+1, 4rs) = \gcd(2\lambda r+1, s) = \gcd(-1, s) = 1$. The classes $\frac{e+v}{2s} = (g, 2r\xi, 4\lambda r^2)$ and $\frac{e-v}{2r} = (\lambda, 2s\xi, 4gs^2)$ are integral and primitive. We conclude that $\widetilde{L} \cong H_{ev}$, by Proposition 6.2 part 1, and $rs(e) = \{r,s\}$, by Lemma 6.4.

8. NUMERICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF EXCEPTIONAL CLASSES VIA TORELLI

The following table points to an example provided in this paper, for each possible value of the quadruple $\{n,\ (e,e),\ \mathrm{div}(e,\bullet),\ rs(e)\}$, for a monodromy reflective class e.

(e,e)	$\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$	$\widetilde{L}$	$\{r,s\}$	n	Reference
-2	1	NA	NA	≥ 2	Examples 10.2, 10.5
-2	2	NA	NA	≥ 6 and $\equiv 2$ mod 4	Example 10.6
-2	2	NA	NA	$= 2$	Example 10.13
$2-2n$	$2n-2$	U	$\{1, n-1\}$	≥ 2	Example 10.13
$2-2n$	$2n-2$	U	$\{2, (n-1)/2\}$	≥ 7 and $\equiv 3$ mod 4	Lemma 10.16 part 2
$2-2n$	$2n-2$	U	$s > r > 2$ $\gcd(r,s) = 1$	$= rs + 1$	Proposition 11.1
$2-2n$	$n-1$	H_{ev}	$\{1, n-1\}$	≥ 4, even	Lemma 10.16 part 1
$2-2n$	$n-1$	$U(2)$	$\{1, (n-1)/2\}$	≥ 3, odd	Lemma 10.16 part 1
$2-2n$	$n-1$	H_{ev}	$r \geq 3$, $s \geq 3$ $\gcd(r,s) = 1$	$= rs + 1$ even	Lemma 11.2
$2-2n$	$n-1$	$U(2)$	$r \geq 3$, $s \geq 2$ $\gcd(r,s) = 1$	$= 2rs + 1$	Lemma 11.2
$2-2n$	$n-1$	H_{ev}	r even, s odd $\gcd(r,s) = 1$	$= 4rs + 1$	Example 11.3

The congruence constraints on n are necessary. If $(e,e) = -2$ and $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2$, then $n \equiv 2$ (modulo 4), by [Ma2], Lemma 8.9. If $rs(e) = \{2, (n-1)/2\}$, then $n \equiv 3$ (modulo 4), in order for $\{2, (n-1)/2\}$ to be a pair of relatively prime integers. If $(e,e) = 2-2n$, $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1$, $n > 2$, and $rs(e) = \{1, n-1\}$, then n must be even, since for odd n the product of r and s is equal to $(n-1)/2$ or $(n-1)/4$, by Lemmas 7.3 and 6.4. This explains also the value of n in the last three rows.

Proof. (Of Theorem 1.12). Set $e := c_1(L)$. The pair (X,e) is deformation equivalent, in the sense of Definition 5.6, to a pair (M,c) appearing in the above table of examples, by Proposition 1.8 and Lemma 5.17 part 1. M is projective and Conjecture 1.11 holds for (M,c), by the example referred to in the table. Suppose that L is numerically effective. Then Part 1 of Conjecture 1.11 follows for (X,L), by Proposition 5.14.

Suppose next that L is not numerically effective. We prove part 2 of Conjecture 1.11 by contradiction. Assume that part 2 fails. Then there exists a non-zero integer k, such that $h^0(X_t, L_t^k) > 0$, for all $t \in D_e$.

We may assume that the absolute value $|k|$ is minimal with the above property. Now $\mathrm{Pic}(X_t)$ is cyclic, for a generic $t \in D_e$. Hence, the linear system $|L_t^k|$ must have a member E_t, which is a prime divisor, by the minimality of $|k|$. It follows that E_t is the unique member of the linear system, by [Bou], Proposition 3.13. Hence, $h^0(X_t, L_t^k) = 1$, away from a closed analytic proper subset $Z \subset D_e$.

Set $U := D_e \setminus Z$ and let $\mathcal{X}_U$ be the restriction of the semi-universal family from $Def(X)$ to U. There exists an irreducible divisor $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{X}_U$, which does not contain the fiber X_t, for any $t \in U$, and which intersects X_t along a divisor in $|L_t^k|$, by the argument used in the proof of Proposition 5.2. The argument furthermore shows, that there exists a closed analytic proper subset $Z_1 \subset U$, such that the fiber E_t of $\mathcal{E}$ is a prime divisor, over all points $t \in U \setminus Z_1$. We do not need the projectivity assumption, as it was used in the proof of Proposition 5.2 only to establish that the generic dimension of $h^0(X_t, L_t)$ is 1, a fact which was already established above.

We conclude the existence of a pair (X_1, e_1), parametrized by a point in $U \setminus Z_1$, such that X_1 is projective, by [Hu3], Proposition 21. Let L_2 be the line bundle on M with $c_1(L_2) = c$. Then $H^0(M, L_2^d)$ vanishes, for all non-zero integers d, since L_2 is not numerically exceptional, and the examples mentioned in the above table have this property, whenever c is not numerically exceptional. Hence, (X_1, e_1) and (M, c) are not deformation equivalent, by Corollary 5.15. On the other hand, (X_1, e_1) is deformation equivalent to (X, e), and hence to (M, c). A contradiction. $\square$

9. Conditions for the existence of slope-stable vector bundles

Let S be a projective $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line-bundle H. We assemble in section 9.1 necessary conditions for the existence of locally-free H-slope-stable sheaves (Lemmas 9.2, 9.3, and 9.4). In section 9.2 we bound the dimension of the locus Exc of H-stable sheaves, which are not locally free or not H-slope-stable. The sheaves F considered all have the following involutive property: there exists an integer t, such that the classes in $K(S)$ of F and $F^* \otimes H^t$ are equal. Equivalently, $c_1(F) = \frac{t \cdot \mathrm{rank}(F)}{2} h$, for some integer t, where $h := c_1(H)$.

The results of this section are only lightly used in section 10, but are essential to the examples in section 11.

9.1. **Necessary conditions.** Set $h := c_1(H) \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and $d := \deg(H)/2$.

Lemma 9.1. *Let F be a locally free H-stable sheaf of rank r satisfying $c_1(F) = \frac{tr}{2}h$, for some integer t. Then F^* is H-stable, if and only if F is H-slope-stable. In particular, if $r = 2$, then F is H-slope-stable.*

Proof. After tensorization by a power of H, we may reduce to the case where either $c_1(F) = 0$, or $r = 2\rho$ is even and $c_1(F) = \rho h$. Assume that we are in one of these cases. If $c_1(F) = 0$, set $L := \mathcal{O}_S$. If $c_1(F) = \rho h$, set $L := H$. In either case, we have the equality $[F] = [F^* \otimes L]$ of classes in $K(S)$. Furthermore, a sheaf G is H-stable if and only if $G \otimes L$ is H-stable.

If F is H-slope-stable, then so is F^*. Hence F^* is H-stable as well. F is H-slope-semi-stable, since it is H-stable. Suppose that F is not H-slope-stable. then there exists a saturated subsheaf $F_1 \subset F$, of rank (say) r_1, with $c_1(F_1) = (r_1/r)c_1(F)$ and $0 < r_1 < r$. Set $F_2 := F/F_1$, and $r_2 := r - r_1$. H-stability of F yields the inequality $\frac{\chi(F_2)}{r_2} > \frac{\chi(F)}{r}$. We get the injective homomorphism $F_2^* \to F^*$, and the inequalities:

$$\frac{\chi(F_2^* \otimes L)}{r_2} = \frac{\chi(F_2^{**})}{r_2} \geq \frac{\chi(F_2)}{r_2} > \frac{\chi(F)}{r} = \frac{\chi(F^* \otimes L)}{r}.$$

Hence, $F^* \otimes L$ is H-unstable. Consequently, F^* is H-unstable.

If $r = 2$, then $F^* \otimes L$ is isomorphic to F and thus F^* is H-stable. □

Lemma 9.2. *Let F be a locally free H-slope-stable sheaf of class $v = (r, 0, -s)$. Then $v = (1, 0, 1)$ or $s \geq r \geq 2$.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{rank}(F) = 1$, then F is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_S$, since F is locally free, and so $s = -1$. Assume that $v \neq (1, 0, 1)$. Then $H^0(F)$ vanishes, by the H-stability of F. Similarly, $H^2(F)^* \cong H^0(F^*) = (0)$, by the H-slope-stability of F^*. Thus, $r - s = \chi(F) = -\dim H^1(F) \leq 0$. □

Lemma 9.2 states a necessary condition for the existence of a locally free H-slope-stable sheaf of class v with $c_1(v) = 0$ (slope 0). This condition is of Brill-Noether type. It states that $\chi(v) \leq 0$, unless v is the class $u = (1, 0, 1)$ of the trivial line-bundle. If $\chi(v) \leq 0$, then the locus of sheaves with non-zero global sections is expected to have positive co-dimension. The condition $\chi(v) \leq 0$ translates to $(u, v) \geq 0$. The following Lemma states a similar condition, for a class v with non-zero slope. The condition is again of Brill-Noether type, with respect to a simple and rigid sheaf E of the same slope as v.

Lemma 9.3. *Let F be a locally free H-slope-stable sheaf of class $v = (2r, rh, -b)$, where $r > 0$, $\gcd(r, b) = 1$, $(h, h) = 2d$, and d is an odd integer. Set $u := (2, h, (d+1)/2)$. If $(v, v) = -2$, then $v = u$. Otherwise, $(v, u) \geq 0$ and (v, u) is even. Furthermore, $(v, u) = 0$, if and only if $v = (2, h, (d-1)/2)$.*

Proof. $M_H(u)$ consists of a single isomorphism class. Let E be an H-stable sheaf of class u. Then E is necessarily H-slope-stable and locally free [Mu]. $M_H(v)$ is non-empty, by assumption. Let $2n$ be its dimension. Then $2n-2=(v,v)=2dr^2+4rb$, and $b=\frac{n-1-dr^2}{2r}$. If $n=0$, then $r=1$, since b is an integer and $\gcd(r,b)=1$. We conclude that $v=u$, if $(v,v)=-2$.

Assume that $v\neq u$. Then $n\geq 1$. We get the inequality

$$\frac{\chi(u)}{2}=\frac{5+d}{4}>\frac{(4+d)r^2+1-n}{4r^2}=\frac{\chi(v)}{2r}.$$

Thus, $\mathrm{Hom}(E,F)=0$. Similarly,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(E,F)^*\cong \mathrm{Hom}(F,E)\cong \mathrm{Hom}(E^*,F^*)\cong \mathrm{Hom}(E^*\otimes H,F^*\otimes H)=0,$$

since $E^*\otimes H\cong E$ and $F^*\otimes H$ is an H-slope-stable sheaf of class v. Thus, $(v,u)=-\chi(v,u)\geq 0$. Furthermore, $(v,u)=2\left[r(d-1)/2+b\right]$. If $(v,u)=0$, then r divides b. Hence, $r=1$, since $\gcd(r,b)=1$. If $r=1$ and $(v,u)=0$, then $b=(1-d)/2$, as claimed. □

Lemma 9.4. *Let F be a locally free H-slope-stable sheaf of class $v=(2r,rh,-b)$, where $r>0$, $\gcd(r,b)=1$, $(h,h)=2d$, and d is an even integer. Set $u:=(2,h,d/2)$. If $(v,v)=0$, then $v=u$. Otherwise, (u,v) is a positive even number.*

This lemma has a Brill-Noether interpretation as well. $M_H(u)$ is two dimensional and it parametrizes locally-free H-slope-stable sheaves [Mu]. Let $B\subset M_H(u)\times M_H(v)$ be the correspondence consisting of pairs (E,F), with non-vanishing $\mathrm{Hom}(E,F)$. The lemma states that if $v\neq u$, then the expected co-dimension $(u,v)+1$ of B is larger than 2, and so B is not expected to surject onto $M_H(v)$.

Proof. Set $n:=(1/2)\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{M}_H(v)=1+(v,v)/2$. If $n=1$, then $(v,v)=0$, and so $v=ku$, for some positive integer k. If $k>1$, then the moduli space $M_H(ku)$ is the k-th symmetric product of $M_H(u)$ and it consists entirely of H-unstable but H-semistable sheaves. We are assuming however the existence of an H-slope-stable sheaf F of class v. Hence, $k=1$ and $v=u$.

Assume that $n>1$. We get

$$\frac{\chi(v)}{2r}=\frac{(4+d)r^2-n+1}{4r^2}<\frac{4+d}{4}=\frac{\chi(u)}{2}.$$

Hence, $\mathrm{Hom}(E,F)=0$, for every H-slope-stable sheaf E of class u. Such a sheaf E is necessarily locally free, and so $E^*\otimes H$ is H-slope-stable of class u. We get also the vanishing of $\mathrm{Ext}^2(E,F)$, by the argument used in the proof of Lemma 9.3. We conclude the inequality

$(u,v) = -\chi(E^* \otimes F) \geq 0$. Furthermore, $(u,v) = dr + 2b$ is even. If $(u,v) = 0$, then $(v,v) = 0$, and so $v = u$. The lemma follows. $\square$

9.2. **Sufficient conditions.**

9.2.1. *The case* $c_1(v) = 0$*.* Let r, s be integers satisfying $s > r > 2$ and $\gcd(r,s) = 1$. Set $n := rs+1$ and $v := (r, 0, -s)$. A sheaf F of class v is H-stable, if and only if it is H-semi-stable (even if H does not generate $\mathrm{Pic}(S)$, see [Ma5], Proposition 4.10 part 1). Hence, $M_H(v)$ is smooth and projective of dimension $2n$. Let $Exc \subset M$ be the locus of H-stable sheaves of class v, which are not locally free or not H-slope-stable. Exc is clearly a closed subset of $M_H(v)$.

Lemma 9.5. *Exc has codimension at least* 2 *in* M*.*

Proof. We will use the following notation, in order for large parts of the proof to generalize to a proof of Lemma 9.6. Let $u := (1, 0, 1)$ be the class of $\mathcal{O}_S$. Set $\epsilon := \mathrm{rank}(u) = 1$.

Step 1: [Jun Li's morphism to the Ulenbeck-Yau compactification]. Let $Y_H(w)$ be the moduli space of H-slope-stable locally free sheaves of class $w \in K(S)$. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_k$ be distinct classes in $K(S)$, with $v_i = (r_i, 0, -s_i)$, $r_i > 0$, $(v_i, v_i) \geq -2$. Let $d_1, \ldots, d_k$ be positive integers satisfying

$$r = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i r_i, \quad \text{and} \quad t(\vec{v}, \vec{d}) := s - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i s_i\right) \geq 0.$$

Denote the d-th symmetric product of $Y_H(v_i)$ by $Y_H(v_i)^{(d)}$. Set

$$Y(\vec{v}, \vec{d}) \quad := \quad \prod_{i=1}^{k} Y_H(v_i)^{(d_i)} \times S^{(t(\vec{v}, \vec{d}))}.$$

Note that for $Y_H(v_i)$ to be non-empty, $v_i = (r_i, 0, -s_i)$ should satisfy

$$r_i = -s_i = 1, \quad \text{or} \quad s_i \geq r_i \geq 2, \tag{9.1}$$

by Lemma 9.2. If $r_i = -s_i = 1$ then $v_i = u$.

Let $M_H^{\mu ss}(v)$ be the moduli space of S-equivalence classes of H-slope-semi-stable sheaves of class v ([HL], section 8.2). Then $M_H^{\mu ss}(v)$ is a projective scheme. Set theoretically, $M_H^{\mu ss}(v)$ is the disjoint union of all such varieties $Y(\vec{v}, \vec{d})$. There exists a projective morphism

$$\bar{\phi} \; : \; M_H(v) \quad \longrightarrow \quad M_H^{\mu ss}(v)$$

[Li]. Each irreducible component of each fiber of the morphism $\bar{\phi}$ is unirational, as it is dominated by an iterated construction of open subsets in extension bundles and bundles of punctual Quot-schemes

([HL], Theorem 8.2.11). The morphism $\bar{\phi}$ is thus generically finite, since $M_H(v)$ is holomorphic symplectic.

It suffices to prove the inequality

$$\dim Y(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \quad \leq \quad \dim M_H(v) - 4,$$

for all strata $Y(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \subset M_H^{\mu ss}(v)$, such that $Y(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \neq Y_H(v)$. It would then follow that $Y_H(v)$ is non-empty, and the image of $\bar{\phi}$ is contained in the closure $\overline{Y}_H(v)$ of $Y_H(v)$ in $M_H^{\mu ss}(v)$. Let $\widetilde{Y}_H(v)$ be the normalization of $\overline{Y}_H(v)$. The morphism $\bar{\phi}$ would then factors through a surjective birational morphism

$$\phi : M_H(v) \to \widetilde{Y}_H(v),$$

since $M_H(v)$ is smooth and irreducible, and $Exc = M_H(v) - Y_H(v)$ would be the exceptional locus of ϕ. It would also follow that the singular locus of $\overline{Y}_H(v)$ has co-dimension ≥ 4 in $\overline{Y}_H(v)$. It would then follow that Exc has co-dimension ≥ 2 in $M_H(v)$, by Proposition 3.5.

Step 2: [Upper bounds for $\dim Y(\vec{v},\vec{d})$]. Fix a stratum $Y(\vec{v},\vec{d})$. Set $t := t(\vec{v},\vec{d})$, and $v' := (r,0,t-s)$. Then $v' = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i v_i$. Set

$$c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \quad := \quad \dim M_H(v) - \dim Y(\vec{v},\vec{d}).$$

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) &= 2 + (v,v) - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i[(v_i,v_i)+2] - 2t \\ &= 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1) + (v',v') - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i[(v_i,v_i)+2] \\ &= 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k d_i d_j (r_i s_j + r_j s_i) - 2\sum_{i=1}^k d_i[r_i s_i + 1]. \qquad (9.2) \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: Suppose that $v_i \neq u$, for all i. Then $s_i \geq r_i \geq 2$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Write $c(\vec{v},\vec{d})$ in the form

$$2 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i \sum_{j=i+1}^k d_j(r_i s_j + r_j s_i) + 2\sum_{i=1}^k d_i[(d_i - 1) r_i s_i - 1].$$

Case 1.1: Assume that $k = 1$. Then

$$c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) = 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1) + 2d_1[(d_1 - 1) r_1 s_1 - 1].$$

Case 1.1.1: If $d_1 = 1$, then $c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) = 2t(\epsilon r - 1) \geq 4t$. If $t = 0$, we are in the open subset where F is locally free and H-slope-stable. If $t > 0$, we see that indeed $c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \geq 4$.

Case 1.1.2: If $d_1 > 1$, then $2d_1[(d_1-1)r_1s_1-1]$ is a positive even number, so $c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \geq 4 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1) \geq 4$.
Case 1.2: Assume that $k > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) &= 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1) + 2(A+B), \quad \text{where} \\ A &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i \left\{ \left[\sum_{j=i+1}^{k} d_j(r_is_j + r_js_i) \right] + [(d_i-1)r_is_i - 1] \right\}, \\ B &= d_k[(d_k-1)r_ks_k - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We are assuming that $s_i \geq r_i \geq 2$. Hence, $(r_is_j + r_js_i) \geq 2r_ir_j \geq 8$. Hence, $A \geq 7$. Now $B > 0$, if $d_k > 1$, and $B = -1$, if $d_k = 1$. The desired inequality $c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \geq 4$ follows.
Case 2: Assume that $v_1 = u$. Note that $r_1s_1 + 1 = 0$ and $r_1s_j + r_js_1 = s_j - r_j = (u, v_j)$. Equation (9.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) &= A + B + C, \quad \text{where} \\ A &= 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1) - 2d_1^2 \\ B &= 2d_1 \sum_{j=2}^{k} d_j(s_j - r_j) = 2d_1(u, v' - d_1v_1) = 2d_1(2d_1 + s - r - \epsilon t). \\ C &= \sum_{i=2}^{k} \sum_{j=2}^{k} d_id_j(r_is_j + r_js_i) - 2\sum_{i=2}^{k} d_i[r_is_i + 1], \end{aligned}$$

Note the equality

$$A + B = 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - \epsilon d_1 - 1) + 2d_1(s - r + d_1).$$

Case 2.1: Assume that $k = 1$. Then $r = d_1$, $t = (r+s)/\epsilon$, and $c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) = A = 2 + 2[(r+s)\left(r - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) - r^2] \geq 2 + 2[(s-1)(r-1) - 1] \geq 2r(r-1) \geq 12$.
Case 2.2: Assume that $k \geq 2$. Then $1 \leq \epsilon d_1 \leq \epsilon r - d_2r_2 \leq \epsilon r - 2$. So

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &\geq 2 + 2t + 2d_1(s - r + 1) \geq 2 + 4d_1 \geq 6. \\ C/2 &= \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{k} d_id_j(r_is_j + r_js_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} [d_i^2r_is_i - d_i(r_is_i + 1)] \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} d_i \left(\left[\sum_{j=i+1}^{k} d_j(r_is_j + r_js_i) \right] + [(d_i-1)r_is_i] - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + d_k[(d_k-1)r_ks_k - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

If $k = 2$ and $d_2 = 1$, then $C = -2$. Otherwise, $C \geq 0$. We conclude that $c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) \geq 4$. This completes the proof of Lemma 9.5. □

9.2.2. *The case with slope equal one half.* Let r be a positive odd integer, σ a positive integer, and set $n := r\sigma+1$. Assume that $r \geq 3$, $\sigma \geq 3$, and $\gcd(r,\sigma) = 1$. Let S be a $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line-bundle H. Set $d := \deg(H)/2$. Choose (S,H), so that σ and d have the same parity. If d is odd, assume that $\sigma > r$, possibly after interchanging r and σ. Set $h := c_1(H)$ and $v := (2r, rh, -b)$, where $b := [\sigma - rd]/2$. Note that $\gcd(r,b) = \gcd(r,\sigma) = 1$. Hence, v is a primitive class in $K(S)$, $(v,v) = 2n-2$, and the moduli space $M_H(v)$ is smooth and projective of type $K3^{[n]}$. Let $Exc \subset M_H(v)$ be the locus parametrizing sheaves F, which are not locally free or not H-slope-stable.

Lemma 9.6. *Exc is an algebraic subset of co-dimension*[2] ≥ 2 *in* $M_H(v)$.

Proof. **Proof in the case d is odd:** When d is odd, then σ is odd. Set $u := (2, h, (d+1)/2)$ and $s := r + (v,u)$. Then $s = \sigma$ is odd. Thus, $s > r$, by assumption, and $s - r$ is even, so $s \geq r+2$.

Given an H-slope-stable locally free sheaf F_i of class $v_i = (2r_i, r_i h, -b_i)$, set $s_i := r_i + (v_i, u)$. If $v_i = u$, then $s_i = -1$. If $v_i \neq u$, then $s_i \geq r_i$, by Lemma 9.3. Furthermore, $s_i = r_i$, if and only if $v_i = (2, h, (d-1)/2)$. If $v_i \neq u$ and $s_i \neq r_i$, then $s_i \geq r_i + 2$, since $s_i - r_i$ is even, by Lemma 9.3.

With the above notation of s and s_i, the proof is almost identical to that of Lemma 9.5. Following are the necessary changes. Replace the class $(1,0,1)$ by the class u defined above. Then $\epsilon = \mathrm{rank}(u) = 2$. Set $\lambda := (0,0,-1)$. Then $(u,\lambda) = 2$ and $(\lambda,\lambda) = 0$. With the above definition of s_i, we have $v_i = r_i u + \left(\frac{s_i+r_i}{2}\right)\lambda$. Hence,

$$(v_i, v_j) \quad = \quad r_i s_j + r_j s_i,$$

as in the proof of Lemma 9.5.

Equation (9.1) is replaced by

$$r_i = -s_i = 1, \quad \text{or} \quad s_i = r_i = 1, \quad \text{or} \quad s_i \geq r_i + 2 \geq 3,$$

by Lemma 9.3. Equation (9.2), for the co-dimension $c(\vec{v},\vec{d})$ of $Y(\vec{v},\vec{d})$, remains valid. The argument for case 1.1.1 remains valid. In case 1.1.2 the term $2d_1[(d_1-1)r_1 s_1 - 1]$ vanishes, if $d_1 = 2$ and $r_1 = s_1 = 1$. However, in that case $(u, v') = (u, 2v_1) = 0$ and $2rt = (u, v - v') = (u,v) = s - r > 0$. So $t > 0$ and $c(\vec{v},\vec{d}) = 2 + 2t(2r-1) \geq 4$.

In case 1.2 we are no longer assuming that $r_i \geq 2$. However, since all v_i are different from u, and $k > 1$, then at least one v_i, say v_1, is different from $(2, h, (d+1)/2)$. Then $s_1 \geq r_1 + 2 \geq 3$. Thus $r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1 \geq 4$ and $A \geq 3$. The rest of the argument in case 1.2 is identical.

---

[2] Note that the assumption $\sigma > r$, adopted above when d is odd, is necessary, since otherwise $Exc = M_H(v)$, by Lemma 9.3.

In case 2, the equations for $c(\vec{v}, \vec{d})$, A, B, and C, remain valid. The argument in case 2.1 remains valid. The inequality $\epsilon r - d_2 r_2 \leq \epsilon r - 2$, in the first line of case 2.2, need not hold. Nevertheless, $\epsilon r - \epsilon d_1 \geq \epsilon d_2 \geq 2$. So

$$A + B \geq 2 + 2d_1(s - r + 1) \geq 2 + 6d_1 \geq 8.$$

The rest of the argument remains valid.

Proof in the case d is even. When d is even then σ is even. Set $u := (2, h, d/2)$. By assumption, $r \geq 3$, $s \geq 3$, and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$.

Given an H-slope-stable sheaf F_i of class $v_i = (2r_i, r_i h, -b_i)$, with $r_i > 0$, set $\sigma_i := (u, v_i) = 2b_i + r_i d$. If $v_i = u$, then $\sigma_i = 0$. If $v_i \neq u$, then σ_i is a positive even integer, by Lemma 9.4. Note also that $(u, v) = \sigma$.

The proof is again almost identical to that of Lemma 9.5. Following are the necessary changes. Replace the class $(1, 0, 1)$ by the class u defined above. Then $\epsilon = \text{rank}(u) = 2$. Set $\lambda := (0, 0, -1)$. Then $(u, \lambda) = 2$ and $(\lambda, \lambda) = 0$. With the above definition of σ_i, we have $v_i = r_i u + \left(\frac{\sigma_i}{2}\right) \lambda$. Hence,

$$(v_i, v_j) \quad = \quad r_i \sigma_j + r_j \sigma_i,$$

and we replace s_i by σ_i in the proof of Lemma 9.5. Then Equation (9.2), for the co-dimension $c(\vec{v}, \vec{d})$ of $Y(\vec{v}, \vec{d})$, remains valid.

Equation (9.1) is replaced by

$$v_i = u, \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_i \quad \text{is a positive even integer},$$

by Lemma 9.4. The argument for case 1.1 remains valid.

In case 1.2 we are no longer assuming that $r_i \geq 2$. However, since all the v_i are different from u, then $\sigma_i \geq 2$, for all i. Thus, $r_1 \sigma_2 + r_2 \sigma_1 \geq 4$ and $A \geq 3$. The rest of the argument is identical.

In case 2, $v_1 = u$, $\sigma_1 = 0$, and $(r_1 \sigma_j + r_j \sigma_1) = \sigma_j = (u, v_j)$. Then $c(\vec{v}, \vec{d}) = A + B + C$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - 1), \\ B &= 2d_1 \sum_{j=2}^{k} d_j \sigma_j = 2d_1(u, v' - d_1 v_1) = 2d_1(\sigma - \epsilon t), \end{aligned}$$

and C remains the same. Then

$$A + B \quad = \quad 2 + 2t(\epsilon r - \epsilon d_1 - 1) + 2d_1 \sigma.$$

In case 2.1, $r_1 = d_1$, $v' = ru$, $\epsilon t = (v - v', u) = \sigma$, and $c(\vec{v}, \vec{d}) = A = 2 + \sigma(\epsilon r - 1)$. Hence $c(\vec{v}, \vec{d}) \geq 17$.

In case 2.2 we assume that $k \geq 2$ and so $\epsilon(r-d_1) \geq 2$. So $A+B \geq 2+2d_1\sigma \geq 8$. The rest of the argument is the same. This completes the proof of Lemma 9.6. □

10. Examples of prime exceptional divisors

Let e, E and X be as in Theorem 1.2. Set $n := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(X)/2$. The pair (X, E) has the following elementary invariants:

1. $(e,e) = -2$, or $(e,e) = 2-2n$.
2. The divisibility $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet)$ of the class $(e, \bullet)$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})^*$ is equal to (e,e) or $(e,e)/2$.
3. Write $[E] = ke$, where e is a primitive class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $k=1$, or $k=2$.

Set $[E]^\vee := \frac{-2([E],\bullet)}{([E],[E])}$. We have $[E]^\vee = e^\vee$ or $[E]^\vee = 2e^\vee$, where $e^\vee$ is a primitive class in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and the coefficient is determined by Lemma 3.7 in terms of the invariant $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet)$ and the coefficient k in (3) above. In particular, if $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = (e,e)/2$, then $[E] = e$, by Lemma 3.7.

Theorem 10.1. *Let X be a smooth projective holomorphically symplectic variety, and E a prime divisor on X.*

1. *(*[D]*, Theorem 1.3) Assume that through a generic point of E passes a rational curve of class $\ell \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, such that $[E] \cdot \ell < 0$. Then E is an exceptional divisor.*
2. *Let E and ℓ be as in part 1 and $\pi : X' \to Y$ the birational contraction of E introduced in Proposition 3.1. Then Y has A_i singularities away from its dissident locus, and $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. Furthermore,* $\ell = \begin{cases} [E]^\vee & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}[E]^\vee & \text{if } i = 2. \end{cases}$

Proof. We need only prove part 2. Y has A_1 or A_2 singularities, by Corollary 3.6. The morphism π restricts to a rational morphism from E to Y, whose generic fiber is a rational curve, by the proof of Proposition 1.4 in [D]. The class ℓ must be the class of an irreducible component of the generic fiber of the restriction of π to E, by the uniqueness of the family of rational curves, which dominates E ([D], Proposition 4.5). The equality $\ell = \frac{1}{i}[E]^\vee$ follows from part 1 of Corollary 3.6. □

We will say that *the prime exceptional divisor is of type A_i*, if the variety Y in part 2 of Theorem 10.1 has A_i singularities away from its dissident locus. All prime exceptional divisor studied in this paper are of type A_1.

10.1. **Brill-Noether exceptional divisors.** Let S be a $K3$ surface, F_0 a simple and rigid coherent sheaf, i.e., a sheaf satisfying $\mathrm{End}(F_0, F_0) \cong \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F_0, F_0) = 0$. Then the class v_0 of F_0 is a primitive class in $K(S)$ with $(v_0, v_0) = -2$. Example of exceptional divisors E of degree -2 in moduli spaces of sheaves on S seem to arise as Brill-Noether loci as follows. Let $v \in K(S)$ be a class satisfying $(v_0, v) = 0$, and such that there exists a smooth and compact moduli space $M(v)$ of stable sheaves of class v. The locus $M(v)^1$, of points representing sheaves F with non-vanishing $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F, F_0)$, is often an exceptional divisor of degree -2. The examples considered in this section are all of this type.

Example 10.2. The case $n \geq 2$, $(e, e) = -2$, and $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 1$. Let S be a $K3$ surface containing a smooth irreducible rational curve Σ. Let $E \subset S^{[n]}$, $n \geq 2$, be the divisor consisting of length n subschemes intersecting Σ along a non-empty subscheme. The class $[E]$ is identified with $[\Sigma]$, under the embedding of $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ as an orthogonal direct summand in the decomposition (4.1) of $H^2(S^{[n]}, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus $([E], [E]) = ([\Sigma], [\Sigma]) = -2$ and $\mathrm{div}([E], \bullet) = 1$. E is of type A_1. Let F_0 be the direct image of $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-1)$ and $v_0 \in K(S)$ the class of F_0. Then v_0 is orthogonal to the class of the ideal sheaf I_Z of a length n subscheme Z of S, and E is the Brill-Noether locus, where $\mathrm{Ext}^1(I_Z, F_0)$ does not vanish.

Let S be a projective $K3$ surface, with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line-bundle H of degree $d \geq 2$. In the remainder of this section the simple and rigid sheaf F_0 will be $\mathcal{O}_S$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_S) \cong H^1(F)^*$, by Serre's Duality. We will need the following results.

Lemma 10.3. *(*[Ma1]*, Lemma 3.7 part 3) Let F be an H-stable sheaf on S of rank r and determinant H, and $U \subset H^0(F)$ a subspace of dimension $r' \leq r$. Then the evaluation homomorphism $U \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \to F$ is injective and its co-kernel is an H-stable sheaf.*

Consider the Mukai vector $v := (r, H, s)$ and assume that $r \geq 0$ and $r + s \geq 0$. Set $v_0 := (1, 0, 1)$. Let $M_H(v)^t$ be the Brill-Noether locus of H-stable sheaves F with $h^1(F) \geq t$.

Theorem 10.4. *(*[Ma1]*, Corollary 3.19,* [Y1]*)*

1. *$M_H(v)^t$ is empty, if and only if $M_H(v + tv_0)$ is.*
2. *There exists a smooth surjective projective morphism*

$$f_t \ : \ \left[M_H(v)^t \setminus M_H(v)^{t+1}\right] \quad \longrightarrow \quad \left[M_H(v + tv_0) \setminus M_H(v + tv_0)^1\right].$$

3. *The fiber of f_t, over a point representing a sheaf E, is naturally isomorphic to the Grassmannian $G(t, H^0(E))$. Furthermore,*

$H^0(E)$ is $r+s+2t$-dimensional, and the dimension $t(r+s+t)$ of the fiber is equal to the co-dimension of $M_H(v)^t$ in $M_H(v)$.

(4) *(*[Ma3]*, Lemma 4.11) If $s=-r$, then $M(v)^1$ is a prime divisor of class $\theta(-v_0)$. The class $\ell \in H^2(M_H(v),\mathbb{Z})^*$ of a $\mathbb{P}^1$-fiber of f_1 is $(\theta(-v_0),\bullet)$.*

The embedding $G(t,H^0(E)) \hookrightarrow M_H(v)$ in part 3 sends a t-dimensional subspace $U \subset H^0(E)$ to the co-kernel of the evaluation homomorphism $U\otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow E$. The co-kernel is stable, by Lemma 10.3.

Example 10.5. The case $n\geq 2$, $(e,e)=-2$, and $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=1$ was considered in Example 10.2. Additional examples of such prime exceptional divisors are provided in part 4 of Theorem 10.4. $M_H(v)^1$ is exceptional, since it is prime of degree -2, by part 4 of Theorem 10.4. Examples of prime exceptional Brill-Noether divisors, for more general simple and rigid sheaves, can be found in the work of Yoshioka [Y1].

Example 10.6. The case $(e,e)=-2$, $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=2$, and $[E]=e$. Assume that n is congruent to 2 modulo 4 and $n\geq 6$. Let S be a projective $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line-bundle H of degree $(H,H)=\frac{n-2}{2}$. Then $h^i(H^2)=0$, for $i>0$, and $h^0(H^2)=n$. Set $X:=M_H(1,H^2,-1)\cong S^{[n]}$. Let $E:=M_H(1,H^2,-1)^1$ be the Brill-Noether divisor in $M_H(1,H^2,-1)$ of sheaves F with $h^1(S,F)>0$.

We recall the explicit definition of E. Let π_i, $i=1,2$, be the projection from $S\times M_H(1,H^2,-1)$ onto the i-th factor. Let $\mathcal{Z}\subset S\times M_H(1,H^2,-1)$ be the universal subscheme, and $I_{\mathcal{Z}}$ its ideal sheaf. Then $\mathcal{F}:=I_{\mathcal{Z}}\otimes \pi_1^*H^2$ is a universal sheaf over $S\times \mathcal{M}_H(1,H^2,-1)$. We have the short exact sequence

$$0\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\rightarrow \pi_1^*H^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}\otimes \pi_1^*H^2\rightarrow 0,$$

and the homomorphism of rank n vector bundles

$$g \ : \ H^0(H^2)\otimes \mathcal{O}_{M_H(v)} \cong \pi_{2_*}(\pi_1^*H^2) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \pi_{2_*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}\otimes \pi_1^*H^2\right).$$

The homomorphism g is injective, since a generic length n subscheme of S induces n independent conditions on a linear system $|L|$, provided the line bundle L on S satisfies $h^0(L)\geq n$. The Brill-Noether divisor is the zero divisor of $\overset{n}{\wedge} g$. E is an effective divisor of class $\theta(-v_0)$, where $v_0:=(1,0,1)$ is the class in $K(S)$ of the trivial line-bundle, and θ is the Mukai isomorphism given in (2.2).

Lemma 10.7. *E is a prime exceptional divisor of class $e:=\theta(-v_0)$. In particular, $(e,e)=-2$ and* $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)=2$.

The rest of section 10.1 is devoted to the proof of Lemma 10.7.

Lemma 10.8. *Let F be an H-slope-stable sheaf of class $(2, H^2, 0)$.*

1. *For every non-zero section $s \in H^0(F)$, the evaluation homomorphism $s : \mathcal{O}_S \to F$ has a rank 1 torsion free co-kernel sheaf.*
2. *If $\epsilon \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S, F)$ is a non-zero class and*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to G_\epsilon \to F \to 0$$

the corresponding extension, then the sheaf G_ϵ is H-slope-stable.

Proof. 1) Denote the co-kernel of s by Q_s. If T is a subsheaf of Q_s with zero-dimensional support, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(T, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$. Thus, the inverse image of T in F would contain a subsheaf isomorphic to T. But F is torsion free. Hence, the dimension of the support of any subsheaf of Q_s is at least 1.

If T is a subsheaf of Q_s of one-dimensional support, then its inverse image in F is a rank one subsheaf F' of F with effective determinant line-bundle. Hence, $\det(F') \cong H^k$, for some positive integer k. This contradicts the slope-stability of F. Hence, Q_s is torsion-free.

2) Assume that G_ϵ is H-slope-unstable, and let $G' \subset G_\epsilon$ be an H-slope-stable subsheaf of maximal slope of rank $r \leq 2$. If G' maps to zero in F, then G' is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_S$, and can not destabilize G_ϵ. For the same reason, the slope of the image $\bar{G}$ of G' satisfies $\mu(\bar{G}) \geq \mu(G')$. Thus $\mathrm{rank}(\bar{G}) \neq 1$, since otherwise $\bar{G}$ would destabilize F. Hence, $\mathrm{rank}(G') = 2$, G' maps isomorphically onto $\bar{G}$, and $\det(G') \cong H^k$, for $2/3 < k \leq 2$. It follows that $k = 2$.

Set $Q := F/\bar{G}$. We get the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \bar{G} \xrightarrow{\iota} F \to Q \to 0.$$

$\mathrm{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S)$ vanishes, since Q has zero-dimensional support. Hence, $\iota^* : \mathrm{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_S) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\bar{G}, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is injective. On the other hand, the pullback $\iota^*(\epsilon)$ vanishes in $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\bar{G}, \mathcal{O}_S)$. This contradicts the assumption that ϵ is a non-zero class. □

The moduli space $M_H^{ss}(2, H^2, 0)$, of H-semi-stable sheaves of class $(2, H^2, 0)$, is known to be an irreducible normal projective variety of dimension $2n - 2$. Furthermore, the singular locus is equal to the strictly semi-stable locus and it has co-dimension 2, if $n = 6$, and 4, if $n > 6$ ([KLS], Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 5.3). A generic H-stable sheaf of class $(2, H^2, 0)$ is H-slope-stable. This is equivalent to the corresponding statement for $M_H(2, 0, 1 - (n/2))$, and follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 10.9. *Let s be an integer ≥ 2. Then the set of H-stable locally free sheaves of class $(2, 0, -s)$ is Zariski dense in $M_H^{ss}(2, 0, -s)$.*

Furthermore, any H-stable locally free sheaf of class $(2, 0, -s)$ is H-slope-stable.

The proof of the density statement is similar to that of Lemma 9.5 and is omitted. The case $s = 2$ is proven in [OG2], Proposition 3.0.5. The second statement is a special case of Lemma 9.1.

Lemma 10.10. *Let $U \subset M^{ss}_H(2, H^2, 0)$ be the subset parametrizing H-slope-stable sheaves F with $h^1(F) = 0$. Then U is a Zariski-dense open subset.*

Proof. Let $M^{\mu s} \subset M^{ss}_H(2, H^2, 0)$ be the Zariski open subset of H-slope-stable sheaves. Let t be the minimum of the set $\{h^1(F) \ : \ [F] \in M^{\mu s}\}$. Assume that $t > 0$. Let $U' \subset M^{\mu s}$ be the Zariski-open subset of sheaves F with $h^1(F) = t$. Let $p : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow U'$ be the projective bundle with fiber $\mathbb{P}H^1(F)^*$ over F. $\mathbb{P}$ is a Zariski open subset of the moduli space of coherent systems constructed by Le Potier in [Le]. A point in $\mathbb{P}$ parametrizes an equivalence class of a pair (F, ℓ), consisting of an H-slope-stable sheaf F of class $(2, H^2, 0)$ and a one-dimensional subspace $\ell \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_S)$. We have $\dim(\mathbb{P}) = \dim(U') + t - 1 = 2n + t - 3$.

There exists a natural morphism

$$f : \mathbb{P} \quad \longrightarrow \quad M_H(3, H^2, 1),$$

sending a pair (F, ℓ) to the isomorphism class of the sheaf G_ℓ in the natural extension $0 \rightarrow \ell^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow G_\ell \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$. G_ℓ is H-slope-stable, by Lemma 10.8 part 2.

Now $h^0(G_\ell) = h^0(F) + 1 = t + 3$. Furthermore, the data (F, ℓ) is equivalent to the data (G_ℓ, ℓ), where ℓ is a one-dimensional subspace of $H^0(G_\ell)$. Hence, the fiber of f, over the isomorphism class of G_ℓ, has dimension at most $t + 2$. The dimension of $M_H(3, H^2, 1)$ is $2n - 8$. Thus, $\dim(\mathbb{P}) \leq 2n + t - 6$. This contradicts the above computation of the dimension of $\mathbb{P}$. $\square$

Let $G(1, U)$ be the moduli space of equivalence classes of pairs (F, λ), where F is an H-slope-stable sheaf of class $(2, H^2, 0)$ with $h^1(F) = 0$, and $\lambda \subset H^0(F)$ is a one-dimensional subspace. $G(1, U)$ is a Zariski open subset of the moduli space of coherent systems constructed by Le Potier in [Le]. The forgetful morphism $G(1, U) \rightarrow U$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle. Let

$$\psi \ : \ G(1, U) \quad \longrightarrow \quad M_H(1, H^2, -1)$$

be the morphism, sending a pair (F, λ) to the quotient $F/[\lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_S]$. The morphism ψ is well-defined, by Lemma 10.8 part 1.

Lemma 10.11.

1. *The divisor E is smooth along the image of ψ and ψ maps $G(1, U)$ isomorphically onto a Zariski open subset of E.*
2. *Let F be an H-slope-stable sheaf of class $(2, H^2, 0)$ with $h^1(F) = 0$. Then $\psi(\mathbb{P}H^0(F))$ is a rational curve of class $(\theta(-v_0), \bullet)$ in $H_2(M_H(1, H^2, -1), \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. 1) The proof is similar to that of parts 6 and 7 of Proposition 3.18 in [Ma1]. Let us first prove that the morphism ψ is injective. Let Q be a sheaf represented by the point $\psi(F, \lambda)$. We know, by construction, that $H^i(F) = 0$, for $i > 0$, and $h^0(F) = \chi(F) = 2$. Hence, $h^0(Q) = 1$, $h^1(Q) = 1$, and $h^2(Q) = 0$. It follows that $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S) = 1$, F is isomorphic to the unique non-trivial extension of Q by $\mathcal{O}_S$, and λ is the kernel of the homomorphism $H^0(F) \rightarrow H^0(Q)$. Hence, ψ is injective.

The image of ψ is Zariski open in E, since it is characterized by $\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S) = 1$, and by the H-slope-stability of the unique non-trivial extension. $G(1, U)$ is clearly smooth. It suffices to construct the inverse of ψ as a morphism. This is done as in the proof of Proposition 3.18 in [Ma1].

2) Let $w \in K(S)$ be a class orthogonal to $(1, H^2, -1)$. The equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}H^0(F)} \psi^*(\theta(w)) \quad = \quad -(v_0, w)$$

follows by an argument identical to the proof of Lemma 4.11 in [Ma3]. It follows that $\psi(\mathbb{P}H^0(F))$ has class $(\theta(-v_0), \bullet)$ in $H_2(M_H(1, H^2, -1), \mathbb{Z})$. □

Lemma 10.12. *The closure E' of the image of ψ is a prime exceptional divisor of class $\theta(-v_0)$.*

Proof. $G(1, U)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over U. Hence $G(1, U)$ is irreducible of dimension $2n - 1$. The image of ψ is irreducible of dimension $2n - 1$, as ψ is injective. Hence, E' is irreducible. The canonical line bundle of $G(1, U)$ restricts to the fiber $\mathbb{P}H^0(F)$ as the canonical line-bundle of the fiber, since U is holomorphic-symplectic. The normal of $\psi(G(1, U))$ in $M_H(1, H^2, -1)$ is isomorphic to the canonical line-bundle of $\psi(G(1, U))$, by Lemma 10.11 part 1. Hence, $E' \cdot \psi[\mathbb{P}H^0(F)] = -2$, and E' is exceptional, by Theorem 10.1 part 1. E' is of type A_1, by Lemma 10.11 part 1. The class of E' is $\theta(-v_0)$, by Lemma 10.11 and Theorem 10.1 part 2. □

Proof. **(of Lemma 10.7)** E is an effective divisor of class $\theta(-v_0)$, by definition of E. This is also the class of the reduced and irreducible divisor E' supporting a component of E. Hence, E is reduced and irreducible. We have the equality $([E],\theta(x)) = (\theta(-v_0),\theta(x)) = -(v_0,x)$, which is divisible by 2, for all $x \in (1,H^2,-1)^\perp$, since $(1,H^2,-1)-v_0 = 2(0,H,-1)$. Hence, $\mathrm{div}([E],\bullet) = 2$. $\square$

10.2. **Exceptional divisors of non-locally-free sheaves.** In this section we will consider examples of prime exceptional divisors, which arise as the exceptional locus for the morphism from the Gieseker-Simpson moduli space of H-stable sheaves to the Ulenbeck-Yau compactification of the moduli space of H-slope-stable locally free sheaves. Such divisors on a $2n$-dimensional moduli space seem to have class e or $2e$, where e is a primitive class of degree $(e,e) = 2-2n$.

Example 10.13. [Be1] The case $n \geq 2$, $(e,e) = 2-2n$, $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2$, $rs(e) = \{1, n-1\}$, and $[E] = 2e$.
Let S be a $K3$ surface, $X := S^{[n]}$, and $E \subset X$ the big diagonal. Then $[E] = 2e$, for a primitive class $e \in H^2(S^{[n]},\mathbb{Z})$, and $(e,e) = 2-2n$. Hence $[E]^\vee = e^\vee$, by Corollary 3.6. E is the exceptional locus of the Hilbert-Chow morphism $S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$ onto the n-th symmetric product. The symmetric product $S^{(n)}$ has A_1 singularities away from its dissident locus. The monodromy-invariant $rs(e)$ is equal to $\{1, n-1\}$, by Example 7.2.

The following result will be needed in the next example.

Lemma 10.14. *Let S be a $K3$ surface, $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on S, $v = (r,\mathcal{L},s)$ a class in $K(S)$, satisfying $(v,v) \geq 2$, and $r \geq 2$. Let H be a v-generic polarization. Assume given an H-slope-stable sheaf G of class $(r,\mathcal{L},s+1)$ and a point $P \in S$, such that G is locally free at P. For each 2-dimensional quotient Q of the fiber G_P, there exists a natural embedding*

$$\kappa \ : \ \mathbb{P}Q \quad \longrightarrow \quad M_H(v),$$

whose image $C := \kappa(\mathbb{P}Q)$ is a smooth rational curve satisfying

$$\int_C \theta(x) \quad = \quad (w,x) \quad = \quad -\mathrm{rank}(x),$$

for all $x \in v^\perp$, where w is the following rational class in $v^\perp$.

$$w \ := \ \frac{r}{(v,v)}v + (0,0,1) \ = \ \frac{1}{(v,v)}\left(r^2, r\mathcal{L}, sr + (v,v)\right). \tag{10.1}$$

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of the tautological quotient bundle $q_{\mathbb{P}Q}$ over $\mathbb{P}Q$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}Q}(-1) \rightarrow Q \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}Q} \rightarrow q_{\mathbb{P}Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$\iota \ : \ \mathbb{P}Q \quad \rightarrow \quad S\times \mathbb{P}Q$$

be the morphism given by $\iota(\ell)=(P,\ell)$. Let π_i be the projection from $S\times\mathbb{P}Q$ onto the i-th factor. Over $S\times\mathbb{P}Q$ we get the short exact sequence

$$0\rightarrow \mathcal{F}\rightarrow \pi_1^*G \stackrel{j}{\rightarrow} \iota_*(q_{\mathbb{P}Q})\rightarrow 0,$$

where j is the natural homomorphism, and $\mathcal{F}$ its kernel. Given a point $\ell\in\mathbb{P}Q$, we denote by $\tilde{\ell}\subset G_P$ the corresponding hyperplane. The sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\ell$, $\ell\in\mathbb{P}Q$, is the subsheaf of G, with local sections which values at P belong to $\tilde{\ell}$. $\mathcal{F}_\ell$ is H-slope-stable, since G is. $\mathcal{F}$ is thus a family of H-stable sheaves, flat over $\mathbb{P}Q$. Let $\kappa:\mathbb{P}Q\rightarrow M_H(v)$ be the classifying morphism associated to $\mathcal{F}$. The morphism κ is clearly injective. An elementary calculation verifies that the differential $d_\ell\kappa$ is injective.

Let us compose the Mukai isomorphism θ, given in (2.2), with pull-back by κ

$$v^\perp \stackrel{\theta}{\longrightarrow} H^2(M_H(v),\mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\kappa^*}{\longrightarrow} H^2(\mathbb{P}Q,\mathbb{Z}).$$

The composition is given by

$$\kappa^*(\theta(x)) \quad = \quad c_1\left\{\pi_{2_!}\left[\pi_1^!(x^\vee)\otimes\mathcal{F}\right]\right\}.$$

Let $[\mathcal{F}]$ be the class of $\mathcal{F}$ in $K(S\times\mathbb{P}Q)$. Then $[\mathcal{F}]=\pi_1^![G]-\iota_![q_{\mathbb{P}Q}]$. We have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} c_1\left\{\pi_{2_!}\left(\pi_1^!(x^\vee\otimes G)\right)\right\} &= 0,\\ c_1\left\{\pi_{2_!}\left(\pi_1^!(x^\vee)\otimes\iota_!(q_{\mathbb{P}Q})\right)\right\} &= c_1\left\{\pi_{2_!}\left(\mathrm{rank}(x)\cdot\iota_!(q_{\mathbb{P}Q})\right)\right\}\\ &= \mathrm{rank}(x)c_1(q_{\mathbb{P}Q}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the following equality holds, for all $x\in v^\perp$.

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}Q}\kappa^*(\theta(x))=-\mathrm{rank}(x).$$

A direct calculation verifies that the class w, given in (10.1), is orthogonal to v and satisfies $(w,x)=-\mathrm{rank}(x)$, for all $x\in v^\perp$. □

Example 10.15. Let S be a $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line bundle H. Let b be an odd integer, such that there exists a line-bundle $\mathcal{L}\in\mathrm{Pic}(S)$ of degree $2n-4b-2$, where $n>2$. If $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is divisible by 2, assume that $n>3$. Let $v\in K(S)$ be the class $(2,\mathcal{L},-b)$ in Mukai's notation. Then $(v,v)=2n-2$ and the moduli space $M:=M_H(v)$ is smooth, projective, and $2n$-dimensional.

Let $E\subset M$ be the closure of the locus of points representing H-stable sheaves F, which are not locally free, but such that F^{**}/F has length one. Let Y be the normalization of the Ulenbeck-Yau compactification of the moduli space of locally free H-slope stable sheaves of class v. Then Y is a projective variety and there exists a morphism $\phi : M \rightarrow Y$, which exceptional locus contains E [Li].

Lemma 10.16. *E is a prime exceptional divisor of type A_1. The class $[E] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the primitive class $e := \theta(2, \mathcal{L}, n-b-1)$. In particular, $(e,e) = 2-2n$.*

1. *If the class $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is not divisible by 2, then* $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = n-1$,
$$rs(e) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \{1, n-1\}, & \textit{if } \ n \textit{ is even}, \\ \{1, (n-1)/2\}, & \textit{if } \ n \textit{ is odd.} \end{array} \right.$$
2. *If the class $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is divisible by 2, then $n \equiv 3$ (modulo 4), $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = 2n-2$, $rs(e) = \{2, (n-1)/2\}$.*

Proof. When the class $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is divisible by 2 then $n \equiv 3$ (modulo 4), since $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = 2n - 4b - 2$ is divisible by 8. In that case $n \geq 7$, by assumption.

If F^{**}/F has length one and F is H-stable, then the reflexive hull F^{**} is necessarily H-semi-stable[3] of class $u := (2, \mathcal{L}, 1-b) \in K(S)$. $M_H(u)$ is irreducible of dimension $2n-4$ and its generic point represents a locally free H-slope-stable sheaf. This is clear if $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is not divisible by 2. If $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is divisible by 2, this follows from Lemma 10.9 and the assumption that $n \geq 7$.

Let $U \subset M_H(u)$ be the locus of H-stable locally free sheaves. Choose a twisted universal sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ over $S \times U$. Then E contains a Zariski dense open subset isomorphic to the projectivization of $\mathcal{G}$. E is irreducible, since the moduli space $M_H(u)$ is irreducible. We conclude that E is a prime exceptional divisor, since it is contracted by the morphism to the Ulenbeck-Yau compactification (also by Theorem 10.1). Furthermore, E is of type A_1.

We calculate next the class $[E]^\vee \in H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, given in equation (3.2). Recall that $[E]^\vee$ is the class of the fiber of $E \rightarrow Y$, by Corollary 3.6. Fix an H-slope-stable locally free sheaf G of class $u \in K(S)$. Fix a point $P \in S$ and denote by G_P the fiber of G at P. Let $\mathbb{P}G_P$ be the projectivization of the fiber and denote by

$$\kappa \ : \ \mathbb{P}G_P \quad \longrightarrow \quad M_H(v)$$

the morphism given in Lemma 10.14. Then $\kappa(\mathbb{P}G_P)$ is a fiber of $E \rightarrow Y$, and we get the equality $[\kappa(\mathbb{P}G_P)] = [E]^\vee$ of classes in $H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. We conclude that the following equalities hold, for all $x \in v^{\perp}$.

---

[3] The H-semi-stability is proven by an easy modification of the proof of [Ma5], Proposition 4.10, Part 1. The assumption that the rank is 2 is needed.

$$\int_{[E]^{\vee}} \theta(x) = -\mathrm{rank}(x) = \frac{1}{n-1}(w,x), \tag{10.2}$$

where $w = (2, \mathcal{L}, n-b-1)$, by Lemma 10.14.

The class $[E]$ is the unique class in $H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the equality

$$\frac{-2([E],\theta(x))}{([E],[E])} \quad = \quad \int_{[E]^{\vee}} \theta(x),$$

for all $x \in v^{\perp}$, by Corollary 3.6. Now $(w,w) = 2-2n$ and so the equality $[E] = \theta(w)$ follows from equation (10.2). Hence, $([E],[E]) = (\theta(w),\theta(w)) = 2-2n$. The class $[E]$ is primitive, since w is.

Let us calculate $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet)$. The class $x = (\rho, \mathcal{L}', \sigma)$ belongs to $v^{\perp}$, if and only if $b\rho = 2\sigma - (\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}')$. Hence, $(e,\theta(x)) = (w,x) = (1-n)\rho$. If $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is divisible by 2, then every integral class $x \in v^{\perp}$ has even rank ρ, and so $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2$. If $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is not divisible by 2, choose a line bundle $\mathcal{L}'$, such that $(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}')$ is odd and set $\sigma := [(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}')+b]/2$. Then $(1,\mathcal{L}',\sigma)$ belongs to $v^{\perp}$. Hence, $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = n-1$.

The pair $(\widetilde{L}, e)$, given in equation (6.1), may be chosen to consist of the saturation $\widetilde{L}$ in $K(S)$ of the lattice spanned by the classes v and $w = \theta^{-1}(e)$, by Theorem 2.1. The largest integer dividing $w - v = (0,0,n-1)$ is $\sigma := n-1$. Now $w + v = (4, 2\mathcal{L}, n-1-2b)$. The largest integer ρ dividing $w+v$ is 4, if $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is divisible by 2. Otherwise, $\rho = 1$, if n is even, and $\rho = 2$, if n is odd. The invariant $rs(e)$ is then calculated via the table after Lemma 6.4. □

11. EXAMPLES OF NON-EFFECTIVE MONODROMY-REFLECTIVE CLASSES

Let S be a projective $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line-bundle H. Set $h := c_1(H) \in H^2(S,\mathbb{Z})$. Set $d := \deg(H)/2$.

11.1. **Non-effective classes of divisibility** $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2$.

Let r, s be integers satisfying $s > r > 2$ and $\gcd(r,s) = 1$. Set $n := rs+1$. Set $v := (r,0,-s)$, $e := \theta(r,0,s)$, and $M := M_H(v)$. M is smooth and projective of dimension $2n$. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(M)$ be the line-bundle with class e. Let $Exc \subset M$ be the locus of H-stable sheaves of class v, which are not locally free or not H-slope-stable. Exc is a closed subset of co-dimension ≥ 2 in M, by Lemma 9.5. Let M^0 be the complement $M \setminus Exc$ of Exc and $\eta : M^0 \to M$ the inclusion. The restriction homomorphism $\eta^* : H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M^0,\mathbb{Z})$

is an isomorphism. Let $\phi : M^0 \to M^0$ be the involution sending a point representing the sheaf F, to the point representing F^*. Set $\psi := (\eta^*)^{-1} \circ \phi^* \circ \eta^*$.

Proposition 11.1. (1) *The class* e *is monodromy-reflective,* $(e,e) = 2-2n$, $\mathrm{div}(e,\bullet) = 2n-2$, *and* $rs(e) = \{r,s\}$.

(2) *Let* $R_e : H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ *be the reflection by* e*. Then* $R_e(\theta(\lambda)) = -\theta(\lambda^\vee)$*, for all* $\lambda \in v^\perp$.

(3) $\psi = R_e$.

(4) $H^0(M,\mathcal{L}^k)$ *vanishes, for all non-zero integral powers* k.

Proof. Part 1 was proven in Example 7.2. Set $\tilde{e} := (r,0,s) \in v^\perp$. Part 2 follows from the fact that $\theta : v^\perp \to H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$ is an isometry, and the equality $R_{\tilde{e}}(\lambda) = -\lambda^\vee$, for all $\lambda \in v^\perp$. Part 4 follows from part 3, via Observation 1.13. We proceed to prove part 3. We need to prove the equality
$\phi^*(\eta^*(y)) = \eta^* R_e(y)$, for all $y \in H^2(M,\mathbb{Z})$.

Let π_i be the projection from $S \times M^0$ onto the i-th factor, $i = 1,2$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a universal sheaf over $S \times M$, $\mathcal{G}$ its restriction to $S \times M^0$, and $[\mathcal{G}]$ its class in $K(S \times M^0)$. The morphism $\phi : M^0 \to M^0$ satisfies

$$(id \times \phi)^! \mathcal{G} \cong (\mathcal{G} \otimes \pi_2^* A)^*,$$

for some line bundle $A \in \mathrm{Pic}(M^0)$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K(S \times M) \arrow[r, "(id\times\eta)^!"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{2_!}"'] & K(S \times M^0) \arrow[d, "\pi_{2_!}"] \\
K(M) \arrow[r, "\eta^!"] & K(M^0),
\end{tikzcd}$$

by the Künneth Theorem [At]. Hence,

$$\eta^*\theta(x) = c_1\left[\pi_{2_!}\left(\pi_1^!(x^\vee) \otimes [\mathcal{G}]\right)\right],$$

for all $x \in v^\perp \subset K(S)$. This explains the first equality below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^*(\eta^*\theta(x)) &= c_1\left\{\phi^!\pi_{2_!}\left(\pi_1^!(x^\vee) \otimes [\mathcal{G}]\right)\right\} \\
&= c_1\left\{\pi_{2_!}\left(\pi_1^!(x^\vee) \otimes (id \times \phi)^![\mathcal{G}]\right)\right\} \\
&= c_1\left\{\pi_{2_!}\left(\pi_1^!(x^\vee) \otimes ([\mathcal{G}] \otimes \pi_2^![A])^\vee\right)\right\} \\
&= -c_1\left\{\pi_{2_!}\left(\pi_1^!(x) \otimes ([\mathcal{G}] \otimes \pi_2^![A])\right)\right\} \\
&= \eta^*\theta(-x^\vee) \quad = \quad \eta^*(R_e(\theta(x))).
\end{aligned}$$

The fourth equality follows from Grothendieck-Verdier duality, the fifth is due to the fact that θ is independent of the choice of a universal sheaf, and the sixth follows from part 2. □

11.2. Non-effective classes of divisibility $\mathrm{div}(e, \bullet) = n - 1$.

Let r be a positive odd integer, σ a positive integer, and set $n := r\sigma + 1$. Assume that $r \geq 3$, $\sigma \geq 3$, and $\gcd(r, \sigma) = 1$. Let S be a $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line-bundle H. Set $d := \deg(H)/2$. Choose (S, H), so that σ and d have the same parity. If d is odd, assume that $\sigma > r$, possibly after interchanging r and σ. Set $h := c_1(H)$ and

$$v \quad := \quad (2r, rh, -b),$$

where $b := [\sigma - rd]/2$. Note that $\gcd(r, b) = \gcd(r, \sigma) = 1$. Hence, v is a primitive class in $K(S)$, $(v, v) = 2n - 2$, and the moduli space $M_H(v)$ is smooth and projective of type $K3^{[n]}$. Let $Exc \subset M_H(v)$ be the locus parametrizing sheaves F, which are not locally free or not H-slope-stable. Exc is an algebraic subset of co-dimension ≥ 2 in $M_H(v)$, by Lemma 9.6.

Let M^0 be the complement $M \setminus Exc$ and $\eta : M^0 \to M$ the inclusion. Let $\phi : M^0 \to M^0$ be the involution sending a point $[F]$, representing the sheaf F, to the point representing $F^* \otimes H$. The homomorphism $\eta^* : H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M^0, \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, by Lemma 9.6. Set $\psi := (\eta^*)^{-1} \circ \phi^* \circ \eta^*$.

Set $e := (2r, rh, \sigma - b)$. Note that $\gcd(r, \sigma - b) = \gcd(r, 2\sigma - 2b) = \gcd(r, \sigma + rd) = \gcd(r, \sigma) = 1$. Hence, e is a primitive class in $v^\perp$ of degree $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$.

Lemma 11.2.

1. *The class* $\theta(e)$ *is monodromy-reflective and* $\mathrm{div}(\theta(e), \bullet) = n - 1$.
2. $\widetilde{L}$ *and* $rs(e) \; = \; \begin{cases} H_{ev} \text{ and } \{r, \sigma\} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd } (n \text{ even}), \\ U(2) \text{ and } \{r, \sigma/2\} & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even } (n \text{ odd}). \end{cases}$
3. *Let* $R_e : v^\perp \to v^\perp$ *be the reflection by* e. *Then* $R_e(\lambda) = -[\lambda^\vee] \otimes H$, *for all* $\lambda \in v^\perp$.
4. $\psi = R_e$.
5. *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *be the line-bundle on* $M_H(v)$ *with* $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = \theta(e)$. *Then* $H^0(M_H(v), \mathcal{L}^k)$ *vanishes, for all non-zero integral powers* k.

Proof. 1) Let $\lambda := (x, c, y) \in K(S)$. Then λ belongs to $v^\perp$, if and only if $r(h, c) - 2ry + bx = 0$. In particular, x is divisible by r, since $\gcd(r, b) = 1$. Now $(\lambda, e) = (\lambda, v) - x\sigma = -x\sigma$. Thus (e, λ) is divisible by $r\sigma = n - 1$. There exists a class $c \in H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$, satisfying $(c, h) = -b$, since the class h is primitive and $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is unimodular. Then the class $\lambda := (r, c, 0)$ belongs to $v^\perp$, and $(e, \lambda) = -r\sigma = 1 - n$. Hence, $\mathrm{div}(\theta(e), \bullet) = n - 1$. The class $\theta(e)$ is monodromy-reflective, by Proposition 1.5.

2) If σ is odd, then $n = r\sigma + 1$ is even, and $\widetilde{L} \cong H_{ev}$, by Lemma 7.3. Now $(e - v)/\sigma = (0, 0, 1)$ is primitive. Hence, $rs(e) = \{r, \sigma\}$, by Lemma 6.4. If σ is even, then n is odd and d is even. The classes $\alpha := (e - v)/\sigma = (0, 0, 1)$ and $\beta := (e + v)/2r = (2, h, d/2)$ are integral isotropic classes and $(\alpha, \beta) = -2$. Hence, $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ spans the primitive sublattice $\widetilde{L} \cong U(2)$. Consequently, $rs(e) = \{r, \sigma/2\}$, by Lemma 6.4.

Part 3 is verified by a straightforward calculation. Part 4 follows from part 3 by the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 11.1. Part 5 follows from part 4, by Observation 1.13. $\square$

Example 11.3. Let r and s be positive integers satisfying $s > r$, one of r or s is even, and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. Set $n = 4rs + 1$. Note that $n \equiv 1$ (modulo 8). Let S be a $K3$ surface with a cyclic Picard group generated by an ample line bundle H. Set $h := c_1(H)$ and $d := (h, h)/2$. Assume that d is odd. Then $r + sd$ is odd, since r and s consist of one odd and one even integer, by assumption. Set $v := (4r, 2rh, -s + rd)$ and $e := (4r, 2rh, s + rd)$. Then the classes v and e are primitive, $(e, v) = 0$, $(v, v) = 2n - 2$ and $(e, e) = 2 - 2n$. We have $(e + v)/2r = (4, 2h, d)$ and $(e - v)/2s = (0, 0, 1)$. Hence, $\widetilde{L} = H_{ev}$, by Proposition 6.2. Thus $rs(e) = \{r, s\}$, by Lemma 6.4. Let $Exc \subset M_H(v)$ be the locus parametrizing sheaves which are not locally-free or not H-slope-stable. Exc is an algebraic subset of codimension ≥ 2 in $M_H(v)$, by the proof of the odd d case of Lemma 9.6 (the symbol r in that proof should remain $\mathrm{rank}(v)/2$ and so should be set equal to twice the symbol r above, and similarly the symbol s in that proof should be set equal to twice the symbol s above). Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the line bundle over $M_H(v)$ with $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = \theta(e)$. We conclude that $H^0(M_H(v), \mathcal{L}^k)$ vanishes, for all non-zero integers k, by the same argument used to prove Lemma 11.2.

Acknowledgements: This work was influenced by S. Druel's talk at the workshop "Holomorphically symplectic varieties and moduli spaces", which took place at the Universite des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, in June 2009. I thank S. Druel for his clear exposition of his interesting work on the divisorial Zariski decomposition [D], and for correcting inaccuracies in an earlier draft of this paper. I would like to express my gratitude to the organizers, Dimitri Markushevich and Valery Gritsenko, for the invitation to participate in the workshop, their hospitality, and for their wonderful work organizing this stimulating workshop. The paper is influenced by the work of Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel [HT1, HT2]. I thank them for communicating to me a draft of their recent preprint on the subject [HT3]. Section 5 elaborates on ideas found already in [HT3]. I thank Brendan also for reading an early draft of the proof of Theorem 1.2. I thank Misha Verbitsky for answering my questions about his preprint [Ver].

References

[At] Atiyah, M. F.: *K-theory.* Lecture notes by D. W. Anderson. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam 1967.

[BCHM] Birkar, C.; Cascini, P.; Hacon, C.; McKernan, J.: *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type.* arXiv:math/0610203

[Be1] Beauville, A.: *Varietes Kähleriennes dont la premiere classe de Chern est nulle.* J. Diff. Geom. 18, p. 755-782 (1983).

[Be2] Beauville, A.: *Symplectic singularities.* Invent. Math. 139 (2000), no. 3, 541–549.

[BHPV] Barth, W., Hulek, K., Peters, C., and Van de Ven, A.: *Compact Complex Surfaces.* Second edition, Springer-Verlag, 2004.

[Bou] Boucksom, S.: *Divisorial Zariski decompositions on compact complex manifolds.* Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4) 37 (2004), no. 1, 45–76.

[D] Druel, S.: *Quelques remarques sur la decomposition de Zariski divisorielle sur les varietes dont la premire classe de Chern est nulle.* Mathematische Zeitschrift, DOI 10.1007/s00209-009-0626-4 (2009).

[HL] Huybrechts, D, Lehn, M.: *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves.* Aspects of Mathematics, E31. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[HT1] Hassett, B., Tschinkel, Y.: *Rational curves on holomorphic symplectic fourfolds.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 11 (2001), no. 6, 1201–1228.

[HT2] Hassett, B., Tschinkel, Y.: *Moving and ample cones of holomorphic symplectic fourfolds.* Preprint arXiv:0710.0390.

[HT3] Hassett, B., Tschinkel, Y.: *Monodromy and rational curves on holomorphic symplectic fourfolds.* Preprint 2009, available at http://www.math.rice.edu/∼hassett/papers/monodromy/

[Hu1] Huybrechts, D.: *Compact Hyperkähler Manifolds: Basic results.* Invent. Math. 135 (1999), no. 1, 63-113 and Erratum: Invent. Math. 152 (2003), no. 1, 209–212.

[Hu2] Huybrechts, D.: *The Kähler cone of a compact hyperkähler manifold.* Math. Ann. 326 (2003), no. 3, 499-513.

[Hu3] Huybrechts, D.: *Compact hyperkähler manifolds.* Calabi-Yau manifolds and related geometries (Nordfjordeid, 2001), 161-225, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[Ka] Kawamata, Y.: *Unobstructed deformations. II,* J. Algebraic Geom. 4 (1995) 277–279. See also Erratum: J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), no. 4, 803–804.

[KLS] Kaledin, D.; Lehn, M.; Sorger, Ch.: *Singular symplectic moduli spaces.* Invent. Math. 164 (2006), no. 3, 591–614.

[KM] Kollár, J., Mori, S.: *Classification of three-dimensional flips.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1992), no. 3, 533–703.

[Le] Le Potier, J.: *Systémes cohérents et structures de niveau.* Astérisque 214, 1993

[Li] Li, J.: *Algebraic geometric interpretation of Donaldson's polynomial invariants of algebraic surfaces.* J. Diff. Geom. 37 (1993), 417-466.

[Ma1] Markman, E.: *Brill-Noether duality for moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces.* J. of Alg. Geom. **10** (2001), no. 4, 623-694.

[Ma2] Markman, E.: *On the monodromy of moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces.* J. Algebraic Geom. **17** (2008), 29-99.

[Ma3] Markman, E.: *On the monodromy of moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces II.* Preprint, math.AG/0305043 v4.

[Ma4] Markman, E.: *Integral generators for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves over Poisson surfaces.* Adv. in Math. 208 (2007) 622-646

[Ma5] Markman, E.: *Integral constraints on the monodromy group of the hyperkähler resolution of a symmetric product of a $K3$ surface.* Preprint arXiv:math.AG/0601304 v3. To appear in Internat. J. of Math.

[Ma6] Markman, E.: *Modular Galois covers associated to symplectic resolutions of singularities.* Preprint arXiv:0807.3502v4 [math.AG]. To appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.

[Mat] Matsumura, H.: *Commutative Algebra.* W. A. Benjamin Co., New York (1970)

[Mu] Mukai, S.: *On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I*, Vector bundles on algebraic varieties, Proc. Bombay Conference, 1984, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies, no. 11, Oxford University Press, 1987, pp. 341-413.

[Na1] Namikawa, Y.: *Deformation theory of singular symplectic n-folds.* Math. Ann. 319 (2001), no. 3, 597–623.

[Na2] Namikawa, Y.: *Poisson deformations of affine symplectic varieties II.* Preprint, arXiv:0902.2832v3 [math.AG].

[Ni] Nikulin, V. V.: *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications.* Math. USSR Izvestija, Vol. 14 (1980), No. 1

[O] Oguiso, K.: *K3 surfaces via almost-primes.* Math. Res. Lett. 9 (2002), no. 1, 47–63.

[OG1] O'Grady, K.: *The weight-two Hodge structure of moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface.* J. Algebraic Geom. 6 (1997), no. 4, 599-644.

[OG2] O'Grady, K.: *Desingularized moduli spaces of sheaves on a $K3$.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 512 (1999), 49–117.

[R1] Ran, Z.: *Hodge theory and the Hilbert scheme.* J. Differential Geom. 37 (1993), no. 1, 191–198.

[Ver] Verbitsky, M.: *A global Torelli theorem for hyperkahler manifolds.* Preprint arXiv:0908.4121 v3.

[Voi] Voisin, C.: *Hodge Theorey and Complex Algebraic Geometry I.* Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 76, Cambridge Univ. Press (2002).

[W] Wierzba, J.: *Contractions of symplectic varieties.* J. Algebraic Geom. 12 (2003), no. 3, 507–534.

[Y1] Yoshioka, K.: *Some examples of Mukai's reflections on $K3$ surfaces.* J. Reine Angew. Math. 515 (1999), 97–123.

[Y2] Yoshioka, K.: *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces.* Math. Ann. 321 (2001), no. 4, 817–884.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003

E-mail address: `markman@math.umass.edu`